COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2489-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1152

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Firearms and Fireworks

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 16, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 2489-01 Bill No. HB 1152 Page 2 of 5 February 16, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, **Department of Public Safety** – divisions of **Missouri State Highway Patrol**, **Missouri State Water Patrol**, **Capitol Police** and the – **State Emergency Management Agency** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** assume new crimes create new cases for the SPD. The exact number of cases affected is too uncertain to provide a definitive dollar amount of fiscal impact. Since the amount of impact is uncertain, the SPD assumes existing staff will be able to provide representation in these cases initially. However, once the true fiscal impact is determined, the SPD will reassess the impact of this legislation. Passage of more than one bill increasing existing penalties or creating new crimes will require increased appropriations for the SPD.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact. However, **Oversight** assumes OPS could absorb any costs arising as a result of this proposal with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)**, state this bill revises crimes on terrorism and mass destruction. New penalty provisions are added for up to a class A felony.

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2489-01 Bill No. HB 1152 Page 3 of 5 February 16, 2004

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

DOC states that at this time, they are unable to determine the number of people who would be convicted under the provisions of this bill and therefore the number of additional inmate beds that may be required as a consequence of passage of this proposal. Estimated construction cost for one new medium to maximum-security inmate bed is \$55,000. Utilizing this per-bed cost provides for a conservative estimate by the DOC, as facility start-up costs are not included and entire facilities and/or housing units would have to be constructed to cover the cost of housing new commitments resulting from the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if adopted as statute. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY03 average of \$38.10 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$13,907 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Eight (8) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, DOC assumes the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for their agency.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than §100,000)
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007

L.R. No. 2489-01 Bill No. HB 1152 Page 4 of 5 February 16, 2004

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would create the crimes of threatening the use of a weapon of mass destruction and computer dissemination of information to promote a terrorist act. The proposal would define "threat," "weapon of mass destruction," and "terrorist act." Threatening the use of a weapon of mass destruction would be a class C felony for the first offense and a class B felony for a second and subsequent offenses. Computer dissemination of information to promote a terrorist act would be a class A felony. The proposal also revises the crime of making a terrorist threat to include threatening conditions that cause serious public inconvenience or terror.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of State Public Defender Department of Corrections

NOT RESPONDING: Office of Prosecution Services

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

L.R. No. 2489-01 Bill No. HB 1152 Page 5 of 5 February 16, 2004

February 16, 2004