COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3308-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 916

Subject: Credit and Bankruptcy; Crimes and Punishment; Liability

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 12, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 3308-01 Bill No. HB 916 Page 2 of 5 January 12, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume they can handle any additional criminal appeals arising from this bill with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would revise the crime of identity theft and create a new crime of trafficking in stolen identities. A new civil cause of action is also created. While this is a relatively new crime, evidence indicates that it is growing rapidly nationwide. Any significant increase in the workload of the courts will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume prosecutors could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources.

L.R. No. 3308-01 Bill No. HB 916 Page 3 of 5 January 12, 2004

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** assume new crimes create new cases for the SPD. Increasing penalties of existing crimes increases the difficulty of existing cases handled by the SPD. The exact number of cases is too uncertain to provide a definitive dollar amount of fiscal impact.

Since the amount of impact is uncertain, the SPD is assuming existing staff could provide representation in these cases initially. However, once the true fiscal impact is determined, the SPD will reassess the impact of this legislation. Passage of more than one bill increasing existing penalties or creating new crimes will require increased appropriations for the SPD.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume this bill enhances crime criteria relating to identity crimes and trafficking in stolen identities. Penalty provisions, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for the DOC, are enhanced to multi-tiered levels from a class A misdemeanor through a class A felony.

The DOC cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the multi-tiered enhancement of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court. The probability exists that offenders could already be criminally charged under existing statute, but this new language may make it easier to prosecute and/or convict. Since 2000, there have been 5 offenders in the DOC with identity theft charges. This translates into an annual rate of 1.67 individuals per year. The average time served for a class C felony is 15 months. From indications of potential future trends, identity theft is a growing area of crime.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY02 average of \$35.52 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$12,965 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY02 average of \$3.10 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,132 per offender).

The DOC is unable to determine the number of people who would be convicted under the provisions of this bill and therefore the number of additional inmate beds that may be required as a consequence of passage of this proposal. Estimated construction cost for one new medium to maximum-security inmate bed is \$55,000. Utilizing this per-bed cost provides for a conservative estimate by the DOC, as facility start-up costs are not included and entire facilities and/or housing units would have to be constructed to cover the cost of housing new commitments resulting from the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if adopted as statute.

L.R. No. 3308-01 Bill No. HB 916 Page 4 of 5 January 12, 2004

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Eight (8) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. It is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC for the three years of this fiscal note period, but the long-range impact is unknown.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(======)		
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 3308-01 Bill No. HB 916 Page 5 of 5 January 12, 2004

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would revise the crime of identity theft and increase the penalties for the crime. Identity theft resulting in theft not exceeding \$500 in value would be a class A misdemeanor; identity theft not exceeding \$1,000 in value would be a class D felony; identity theft not exceeding \$10,000 in value would be a class C felony; if the value does not exceed \$100,000 it would be a class B felony; and if the value exceeds \$100,000 it would be a class A felony. In addition, the person who committed the act of identity theft would be liable for civil damages of up to \$5,000 for each incident, or three times the amount of actual damages, whichever is greater.

The proposal would also create the crime of trafficking in stolen identities, a class B felony.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety

- Missouri State Highway Patrol
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 12, 2004