COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>LR No.</u>: 3449-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 948

Subject: Counties: Classifications

Type: Original

Date: January 16, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 60 | 90 | \$0 | | | |
| | | FY 2005 FY 2006 | | | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

LR No. 3449-01 Bill No. HB 948 Page 2 of 4 January 16, 2004

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | |
| Local Government | \$0 or Unknown | \$0 or Unknown | \$0 or Unknown | |

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **State Tax Commission** assume no fiscal impact to the Commission.

In response to identical legislation the **Jasper County Commission** assume this proposal could save a second class county the cost of establishing a juvenile center, medical examiner, and salary increases of elected officials until the Commission decides the county could afford it.

Franklin County Commission assumes no fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes the provisions of Sections 48.020 and 48.030 is procedural in nature and could have fiscal impact. By raising the required assessed valuation for a classification change could possibly postpone a county from changing classification until a later date or indefinitely, thereby postponing a possible increase in the expenditure of money on salaries, new offices, etc. For purposes of this fiscal note, Oversight assumes this proposal could save certain counties money from postponing a classification change. Fiscal impact to certain counties will be shown as \$0 or Unknown savings.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 (10 Mo.)

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)

LR No. 3449-01 Bill No. HB 948 Page 3 of 4 January 16, 2004

| | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------|
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2005 (10 Mo.) | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |

CERTAIN COUNTIES

Savings - to certain counties postponing class change

\$0 or Unknown \$0 or Unknown \$0 or Unknown

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This act modifies the classification of counties. The assessed valuation necessary to qualify as a first class county is increased from the current \$450 million to \$600 million. The assessed valuation necessary to qualify as a second class county is increased from the current \$300 million to \$450 million. No county will move to a lower classification than its current classification as a result of the changes in the assessed valuation requirement, however, such a county may move to a lower classification after five years at a level below the requisite amount for the county's current classification.

This act modifies Section 48.030, RSMo, to allow any county that has the requisite assessed valuation to become a first class county to choose to do so upon an affirmative vote of the county's governing body, even though the county has not had such valuation for five successive years as required under current law.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)

LR No. 3449-01 Bill No. HB 948 Page 4 of 4 January 16, 2004

Missouri State Tax Commission Jasper County Commission Franklin County Commission

NOT RESPONDING

Andrew County Commission
Cooper County Commission
Christian County Commission
Johnson County Commission
Newton County Commission
Warren County Commission
New Madrid County Commission
Saline County Commission
Lafayette County Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 16, 2004