

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3763-01  
Bill No.: HB 1091  
Subject: Cities, Towns and Villages; Counties; Gambling.  
Type: Original  
Date: January 26, 2004

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
<b>Local Government*</b>	<b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b>	<b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b>	<b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b>

**\* Note:** Election costs at a general or primary election would be minimal, however, if the question was put before the voters at a special election, the election costs could be material. Also, the question would only be put before the voters of a county if a city within that county approves excursion gambling boats.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Gaming Commission** and the **Office of the Secretary of State** each assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from **Jefferson County** state this bill would not only increase the costs of the county by having to pay for a countywide election that it would not otherwise be required to hold, but also creates other difficulties since Jefferson county has already passed a countywide vote approving gambling, yet with this bill, if a city within our county would approve gambling, the county would have to hold another countywide vote to approve gambling again.

Officials from **St. Louis City, St. Louis County** and **Stone County** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** will range the fiscal impact to county governments from \$0 to (Unknown), since a county would be required to place before voters the gambling boat question only if a city within the county authorized a gambling boat. Obviously, this proposal would not have a fiscal impact on most counties in Missouri, but could result in additional election costs to a few Missouri counties. If the county referendum is held at a general or primary election, the costs would be minimal, however, if the county referendum utilizes a special election, the costs to the county could be material.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
---	---------------------	---------	---------

**COUNTY GOVERNMENTS**

<u>Costs</u> - election costs *	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
---------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS *</b>	<b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b>	<b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b>	<b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b>
---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

**\* Note: Election costs at a general or primary election would be minimal, however, if the question was put before the voters at a special election, the election costs could be material. Also, the question would only be put before the voters of a county if a city within that county approves excursion gambling boats.**

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

### DESCRIPTION

This proposal requires a subsequent county-wide vote to follow a favorable city-wide vote authorizing licensing of gambling boats.


The provisions of the proposal will not apply to any city which has approved the licensing of gambling boats prior to the effective date of the proposal.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Gaming Commission  
Office of Administration  
Office of the Secretary of State  
Jefferson County

**NOT RESPONDING: St. Louis City, St. Louis County, Stone County**



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
January 26, 2004