COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:3896-01Bill No.:HB 1264Subject:Crimes and Punishment; Law Enforcement Officers and AgenciesType:OriginalDate:March 24, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 3896-01 Bill No. HB 1264 Page 2 of 4 March 24, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Department of Public Safety** - divisions of **Missouri State Highway Patrol** and **Fire Safety** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact the courts.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** assume extending the statute of limitations will cause more cases to be filed, those in which the statute of limitations would have run. However, the exact number is too uncertain to calculate a dollar amount of impact.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)**, state this bill extends the statute of limitations for Arson I and II and knowingly burning or exploding to five years.

DOC states that at this time, they are unable to determine the number of people who would be convicted under the provisions of this bill and therefore the number of additional inmate beds that may be required as a consequence of passage of this proposal. Estimated construction cost

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3896-01 Bill No. HB 1264 Page 3 of 4 March 24, 2004

for one new medium to maximum-security inmate bed is \$55,000. Utilizing this per-bed cost

ASSUMPTION (continued)

provides for a conservative estimate by the DOC, as facility start-up costs are not included and entire facilities and/or housing units would have to be constructed to cover the cost of housing new commitments resulting from the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if adopted as statute.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY03 average of \$38.10 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$13,907 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Eight (8) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, DOC assumes the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for their agency.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3896-01 Bill No. HB 1264 Page 4 of 4 March 24, 2004

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal lengthens from three to five years the statute of limitation for the crimes of arson in the first degree, arson in the second degree, and knowingly burning or exploding property.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety Fire Safety Highway Patrol

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director March 24, 2004

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)