COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>LR No.</u>: 3992-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1220

Subject: Elections: Secretary of State

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 24, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

LR No. 3992-01 Bill No. HB 1220 Page 2 of 6 March 24, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of Secretary of State - Administrative Rules Division** stated this bill requires a paper trail for audits of the computerized voter system. Based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Office of the Secretary of State, Elections Division could require appproximately 6 pages in the Code of State Regulations. For any given rule, roughly one-half again as many pages are published in the Missouri Register as are published in the Code because of cost statements, fiscal notes and notices that are not published in the Code. The estimated cost of a page in the Missouri Register is \$23. The estimated cost of a page in the Code of State Regulations is \$27. The actual cost could be more or less than the numbers given. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded, and withdrawn.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

LR No. 3992-01 Bill No. HB 1220 Page 3 of 6 March 24, 2004

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials of the Office of Secretary of State - Division of Elections assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** stated this proposal requires an audit trail for any electronic voting system. Officials stated that the term "audit trail" is not defined. Officials stated that in the era of lever-action voting machines, the audit trail consisted of the precinct level canvass, the later jurisdiction level "bi-partisan" canvass, and a comparison to totals shown on each machine, together with the signed lists of registered voters who cast ballots in each precinct. Should any paper trail system be approved that attempts to tie the individual voter, beyond the above described system, could increase costs greatly. Officials stated it would be hard to estimate costs but would probably be in the neighborhood of 10% or more of current election costs. Officials stated that elections cost in excess of \$300,000 currently.

Officials of the **Webster County Clerk's Office** stated that for a third class county, the fiscal impact would be significant. However, the primary cost would be in the initial purchase of equipment (required by federal law, prior to Jan. 1, 2006). Machines with paper trails will cost more than those without. Officials stated they can only make wild guesses, as the equipment is essentially still in development. Officials estimate the additional cost to Webster County at \$30,000, and additional maintenance costs thereafter would be minimal.

Officials of the **Platte County Board of Election Commission** assume they would have the following costs:

2005

- \$122,500.00 \$3,500/unit to add printer to DRE system x 35 units (as per average of quotes received from several DRE vendors)
- \$3,500.00 Consumable Supplies (paper for each unit for each election, as well as ribbons/ink cartridges.)
- \$5,000.00 Hardware Maintenance Agreement, to cover addition of printer to DRE system.

2006

\$3,500.00 - Supplies (paper for each unit for each election, as well as ribbons/ink cartridges.)

\$5,000.00 - Hardware Maintenance Agreement

LR No. 3992-01 Bill No. HB 1220 Page 4 of 6 March 24, 2004

ASSUMPTION (continued)

2007

\$3,500.00 - Supplies (paper for each unit for each election, as well as ribbons/ink cartridges.)

\$5,000.00 - Hardware Maintenance Agreement

Officials of the **Jackson County Board of Election Commission** assume there would be costs to the state for printers. Officials estimate there would be 2000 voting devices which would cost \$800 each for a total state cost of \$1,600,000. Officials estimate local cost as follows:

Training - \$1,500 per election Delivery of equipment - \$1,000 per election Printer paper - \$20,000 Maintenance of equipment - \$1,000 to \$10,000

Oversight assumes no state fiscal impact (based on Secretary of State response). Oversight assumes this proposal would only affect election authorities that have computerized voting systems. Current law states that the Secretary of State shall promulgate rules and regulations to allow the use of a computerized voting system. "The procedures shall provide for the use of a computerized voting system with the ability to provide a paper audit trail". Oversight assumes unless some election authorities have purchased computer voting machines which don't provide a paper trail, already, the incurred costs become budget matters. Oversight assumes those authorities with computerized voting systems should have the capability and might possibly need some programming, upgrading, or printers. Oversight will show fiscal impact as \$0 or (Unknown) to certain election authorities.

(10 Mo.)		
\$0	\$0	\$0

LR No. 3992-01 Bill No. HB 1220 Page 5 of 6 March 24, 2004

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
	(10 Mo.)		

CERTAIN LOCAL ELECTION AUTHORITIES - COUNTIES AND CITIES

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO	<u>\$0 or</u> (<u>Unknown)</u> <u>\$0 or</u>	<u>\$0 or</u> (<u>Unknown)</u> <u>\$0 or</u>	<u>\$0 or</u> (<u>Unknown</u>)
<u>Costs</u> to Certain Election Authorities for election equipment and supplies	\$0 or	\$0 or	\$0 or

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This bill requires that any computerized voting system authorized by the Secretary of State must provide a paper audit trail.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Secretary of State Kansas City Board of Election Commission Webster County Clerk Platte County Board of Election Commission Jackson County Board of Election Commission LR No. 3992-01 Bill No. HB 1220 Page 6 of 6 March 24, 2004

NOT RESPONDING

St. Louis City Board of Election Commission St. Louis County Board of Election Commission Greene County Clerk

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 24, 2004