

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4141-01
Bill No.: HB 1345
Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Roads and Highways.
Type: Original
Date: March 1, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator, Department of Transportation and Department of Public Safety** - divisions of the **Missouri Highway Patrol, State Water Patrol** and the **Capitol Police** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a similar proposal from this year, officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assumed prosecutors could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** this proposed legislation will create the new crime of unauthorized use of a traffic signal preemption system to control traffic. New crimes create new cases for the SPD. The exact number of cases affected is too uncertain to provide a definitive dollar amount of fiscal impact. Nevertheless, there will be some impact.

Since the amount of impact is so uncertain, the SPD cannot assume existing staff will be able to provide representation in these cases. However, once the true impact is determined, the SPD will reassess the impact of this legislation. Passage of more than one bill increasing existing

penalties, or creating new crimes, will require increased appropriations for the SPD.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal regulates the use of traffic signal preemption systems which are used to control traffic signals at intersections. The proposal allows these devices to be used by:

- (1) Emergency vehicle operators during an emergency;
- (2) Authorized bus operators to maintain a longer green light; and
- (3) Authorized operators in a traffic signal maintenance vehicle in order to facilitate traffic signal maintenance activities.

An unauthorized person using the device will be guilty of a class B misdemeanor.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Transportation
Department of Public Safety
 State Highway Patrol
 State Water Patrol
 Capitol Police
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mickey Wilson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
March 1, 2004