COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4514-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1454

Subject: Easements and Conveyances.

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 20, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4514-01 Bill No. HB 1454 Page 2 of 4 February 20, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Governor** assume the proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Missouri National Guard (MNG)** state this proposal should result in no cost to their agency. The MNG is abandoning the structure and is trying to give the facility to the local political subdivision.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Design and Construction** state the proposal would have no direct fiscal impact on their agency. Insofar as this conveyance is made at market value, no impact to the state will occur. However, any conveyance at less than market value results in a negative impact to the state.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact. However, in response to similar conveyance proposals from this year, the AGO assumed that any expenses arising from the proposal could be absorbed within existing resources.

L.R. No. 4514-01 Bill No. HB 1454 Page 3 of 4 February 20, 2004

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The proposal does not specify the sales price for the property. **Oversight** assumes the Commissioner of Administration will price the property commiserate with its market value, and therefore, the state will not realize a fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **City of Caruthersville** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal authorizes the Governor to convey the National Guard Armory located in Pemiscot County to the City of Caruthersville.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4514-01 Bill No. HB 1454 Page 4 of 4 February 20, 2004

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Governor Department of Public Safety Missouri National Guard Office of Administration

NOT RESPONDING: City of Caruthersville, Office of the Attorney General

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 20, 2004