COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4699-01

Bill No.: HB 1547

Subject: Gambling.

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 4, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4699-01 Bill No. HB 1547 Page 2 of 4 March 4, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government*	\$0 or (UNKNOWN)	\$0 or (UNKNOWN)	\$0 or (UNKNOWN)	

^{*} Note: Election costs at a general or primary election would be minimal, however, if the question was put before the voters at a special election, the election costs could be material. Also, the question would only be put before the voters of a county if a city within that county approves excursion gambling boats.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Gaming Commission** assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

In response to a similar proposal from this year, officials from **Jefferson County** stated this bill would not only increase the costs of the county by having to pay for a countywide election that it would not otherwise be required to hold, but also creates other difficulties since Jefferson county has already passed a countywide vote approving gambling, yet with this bill, if a city within our county would approve gambling, the county would have to hold another countywide vote to approve gambling again.

Officials from **Stone County** estimate the cost to conduct a county-wide election to be \$24,650.

Officials from Kimberling City, the City of Branson, Barry County and Taney County did

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 4699-01 Bill No. HB 1547 Page 3 of 4 March 4, 2004

not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight will range the fiscal impact to county governments from \$0 to (Unknown), since a county would be required to place before voters the gambling boat question only if a city within the county authorized a gambling boat. This proposal would not have a fiscal impact on most counties in Missouri, but could result in additional election costs to a few Missouri counties. If the county referendum is held at a general or primary election, the costs would be minimal, however, if the county referendum utilizes a special election, the costs to the county could be material.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government COUNTY GOVERNMENTS	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
Costs - election costs *	\$0 or (<u>Unknown)</u>	\$0 or (<u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or (<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS *	\$0 or (UNKNOWN)	\$0 or (UNKNOWN)	\$0 or (UNKNOWN)

^{*} Note: Election costs at a general or primary election would be minimal, however, if the question was put before the voters at a special election, the election costs could be material. Also, the question would only be put before the voters of a county if a city within that county approves excursion gambling boats.

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

RAS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 4699-01 Bill No. HB 1547 Page 4 of 4 March 4, 2004

DESCRIPTION

This proposal requires a subsequent countywide vote to follow a favorable citywide vote authorizing the licensing of gambling boats located other than on the Mississippi or Missouri rivers.

The provisions of the proposal will not apply to any city which approved the licensing of gambling boats prior to the effective date of the proposal.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Gaming Commission Stone County Jefferson County

NOT RESPONDING: Kimberling City, City of Branson, Barry County and Taney County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 4, 2004