COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:0134-01Bill No.:HB 87Subject:Education, Elementary and Secondary: Elementary and Secondary Education DeptType:OriginalDate:February 8, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
£0.	£0.	¢0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0134-01 Bill No. HB 87 Page 2 of 4 February 8, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Accord to officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education**, there is no increased cost to the state by limiting summer school funding to summer school programs run directly by the district. There may be some state savings if some districts continue to contract for a summer school program as such districts would no longer receive state money for the attendance of students in such a summer school program. However, such savings cannot be determined and are likely to be minimal as districts will make the necessary changes in order to continue receiving summer school funding.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume this proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the AGO's office.

Officials from the **Salisbury R-IV School District** stated this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their district because they have always directly run their own summer school.

Officials from the **Kansas City Missouri School District** state their district operates its own summer school program. As long as the eligible pupil count for summer school as defined in subdivision (8) of section 163.011 does not change, the proposed legislation will have no fiscal impact on their district.

LD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0134-01 Bill No. HB 87 Page 3 of 4 February 8, 2005

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Columbia Public Schools (CPS)** state that if the add-on for summer school were eliminated for the summer of 2005 the cost to CPS would be approximately \$5 million assuming the current underfunded foundation formula. In future years the cost is unknown; however, CPS assumes the district would return to only offering summer school for special education and academically failing students.

Oversight assumes funding lost by certain school districts would be redistributed to qualifying school districts.

Officials from the **Saint Louis**, **Nixa**, and **Parkway School Districts** did not respond to our request for fiscal note.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal requires school districts to run their own summer school, rather than contract out these programs, to be eligible for the summer school add-on in state financial aid, beginning with the 2005-2006 school year.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

LD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0134-01 Bill No. HB 87 Page 4 of 4 February 8, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Office of Attorney General School Districts Kansas City Columbia Salisbury

NOT RESPONDING

Saint Louis Nixa Parkway

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director February 8, 2005

LD:LR:OD (12/02)