### COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0160-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 358 <u>Subject</u>: Courts; Juries

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 14, 2005

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
General Revenue	Less than \$100,000	0 Less than \$100,000 Less than \$		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	<b>Less than \$100,000</b>	<b>Less than \$100,000</b>	<b>Less than \$100,000</b>	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0160-01 Bill No. HB 358 Page 2 of 4 March 14, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a similar proposal from the 2004 Session (HB 1243, LR # 4055-01), officials assumed there would be a cost savings of less than \$100,000 because fewer cases would be subject to the bifurcated trial procedure.

L.R. No. 0160-01 Bill No. HB 358 Page 3 of 4 March 14, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government  GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
Savings – Office of Attorney General Fewer bifurcated trials	Less than <u>\$100,000</u>	Less than <u>\$100,000</u>	Less than <u>\$100,000</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>Less than</u> \$100,000	<u>Less than</u> \$100,000	<u>Less than</u> <u>\$100,000</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would eliminate the bifurcated jury sentencing process in which an offender is tried and then sentenced in separate phases of a trial. The court would be required to instruct the jury on the range of authorized punishment, and the jury would be required to assess punishment as a part of their verdict upon a finding of guilt. If the court would find that the jury cannot agree on the punishment, the jury could return its verdict without assessing punishment; and the court would assess punishment.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0160-01 Bill No. HB 358 Page 4 of 4 March 14, 2005

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the Attorney General Office of State Courts Administrator Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

March 14, 2005