# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0163-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 339

Subject: Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Motor Vehicles.

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 9, 2005

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND       |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                      | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 |  |
| General Revenue                                    | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS       |         |         |         |  |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                   | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 |  |
| State School Moneys<br>Fund                     | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0163-01 Bill No. HB 339 Page 2 of 5 March 9, 2005

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS                        |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED  | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on <u>All</u><br>Federal Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS |         |         |         |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| FUND AFFECTED                       | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 |
| <b>Local Government</b>             | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** and the **Department of Public Safety - Director's Office** and **Highway Patrol** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal will not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume for the purpose of this proposed legislation, that existing staff could provide representation for those few cases arising where indigent persons were charged with the seat belt law revision which would allow law enforcement officers to stop a vehicle for a seat belt violation if it is clearly visible and raises the fine.

Passage of more than one bill increasing penalties on existing crimes or creating new crimes would require the State Public Defender System to request increased appropriations to cover the cumulative cost of representing indigent persons accused in the now more serious cases or in the new additional cases.

L.R. No. 0163-01 Bill No. HB 339 Page 3 of 5 March 9, 2005

# <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** state this legislation would revise the seat belt law to allow enforcement officers to stop a vehicle for a seat belt violation if it is clearly visible and raises the fine. The educational materials with revised law information would have to be redesigned and printed, but the cost will be absorbed by MoDOT. MoDOT assumes revenue from the \$5 increase in the fine amount would benefit the state's school fund.

MoDOT states the fiscal impact submitted for similar legislation proposed during the FY 2004 session of the Missouri General Assembly is no longer applicable. This proposed legislation will have no fiscal impact on MoDOT unless a federal reauthorization bill with provisions similar to last year's bill is enacted during the current Congressional session. At this time, no federal TEA-21 reauthorization bills have been introduced.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume there is no state cost to the foundation formula associated with this bill. DESE does not know how much additional fine money might be collected by the Department of Revenue to distribute to schools. Any increase in this money distributed to schools becomes a deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year; unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula with a proration factor of 1.00.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (CTS)** state the proposed legislation would allow an officer to stop a vehicle for non-compliance with the seat belt law if the violation is clearly visible to the officer without stopping the vehicle, and increase the fine from \$10 to \$15.

Depending on the degree of enforcement, there could be a significant increase in the number of cases filed. However, CTS has no way of estimating that increase. Any significant increase would be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **City of Springfield** assume the proposal would result in additional costs of \$6,000 per year for processing and additional revenues of approximately \$12,000 per year.

Officials from the **St. Charles Police Department** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0163-01 Bill No. HB 339 Page 4 of 5 March 9, 2005

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

**Oversight** notes that increases in fines which would go to school districts would be offset by reduced payments to those districts through the State Foundation Formula.

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government                                      | FY 2006<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2007        | FY 2008        |
|---|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| GENERAL REVENUE FUND  |                     |                |                |
| Savings – Reduced appropriations to State School Moneys Fund          | <u>Unknown</u>      | <u>Unknown</u> | <u>Unknown</u> |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON<br>GENERAL REVENUE FUND                       | <u>Unknown</u>      | <u>Unknown</u> | <u>Unknown</u> |
| STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND  |                     |                |                |
| <u>Savings</u> – Reduced distributions to school districts            | Unknown             | Unknown        | Unknown        |
| <u>Losses</u> – Reduced appropriations from General Revenue Fund      | (Unknown)           | (Unknown)      | (Unknown)      |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND                      | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u>     | <u>\$0</u>     |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government SCHOOL DISTRICTS                     | FY 2006<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2007        | FY 2008        |
| Revenues – Income from fines  | Unknown             | Unknown        | Unknown        |
| <u>Costs</u> – Reduced distributions from State<br>School Moneys Fund | (Unknown)           | (Unknown)      | (Unknown)      |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON SCHOOL DISTRICTS                              | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u>     | <u>\$0</u>     |
|   |                     |                |                |

L.R. No. 0163-01 Bill No. HB 339 Page 5 of 5 March 9, 2005

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal allows law enforcement officers to stop a vehicle for a seat belt violation if the violation is clearly visible. The fine for the violation is increased from \$10 to \$20.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Public Safety
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Transportation
Department of Revenue
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

**NOT RESPONDING: St. Charles Police Department** 

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 9, 2005