COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0499-05

Bill No.: HCS for HB 586

Subject: Abortion; Appropriations; Children and Minors

Type: Original Date: April 4, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0499-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 586

Page 2 of 5 April 4, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on All	φ n	φ n	φ 0	
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator, the Office of Prosecution Services, the Coordinating Board of Higher Education, and the State Public Defender assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DOS)** state there would be no fiscal impact to DOS because there is an exemption for the Medicaid program for services mandated by Federal Law.

Officials from the **State Auditor's Office (SAO)** state in order for the SAO to perform the audits required by this proposed legislation (once every three years), it would require an additional .5 FTE to perform one third of the audits each year.

Oversight assumes audits required by this proposal could be absorbed by the SAO.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

CM:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0499-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 586 Page 3 of 5 April 4, 2005

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Oversight assumes the Attorney General will defend the validity of this proposal and shall seek enforcement in the court. Oversight assumes a Solicitor General will not be needed.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** (DOH) assume the fiscal impact to the DOH would be greater than \$100,000. The DOH states Subsection 2, subdivision 6 of this legislation requires that an independent audit of any entity that receives public funds in connection with any health and social service program be conducted at least once every three years or sooner. Currently the Division of Community Health has 1,491 contracts. The cost to reimburse contractors for each independent audit would be \$2,300 (based on current audits conducted for Child & Adult Care Food program). If one third of these contracts are audited each year, the reimbursement cost would be \$1,143,100 (1,491 x $$2,300 \times 1/3 = $1,143,100$).

In a similar fiscal note from the previous year (HB 1000, L.R. 2968-02) the DOH assumed it would select the option to approve the independent auditing firm with the contractor being responsible for the cost of the independent audit, as provided for in said subsection.

Oversight assumes the DOH would pass the audit cost to the contractor and have no fiscal impact.

Officials from the **University of Missouri (UM)** assume this proposal would result in a potential financial impact for the costs of the reocordkeeping and audit expenses related to this legislation. UM states the amounts related to these requirements re not readily identifiable and it is doubtful they will exceed \$100,000 annually.

Oversight assumes the UM can absorb related costs.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 0499-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 586 Page 4 of 5 April 4, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal prohibits the expenditure of public funds to existing or proposed health and social services programs that directly or indirectly subsidize abortion services. An entity that is affiliated with another entity that provides abortion services may only receive public funds if the affiliated entity is an independent affiliate. Entities that provide counseling to pregnant women and receive public funds may only provide non-directive pregnancy counseling.

Entities that receive public funds are required to maintain records that demonstrate strict compliance with this section. An independent audit of these entities must be conducted at least once every three years. If the recipient of public funds is affiliated with an entity that provides abortion services, an audit must be conducted each year to ensure compliance. The proposal includes exceptions for reimbursement to entities that provide services that are required under federal Medicaid regulations and certain services required under the federal Public Health Services Act.

The attorney general, on behalf of the state of Missouri, shall defend the validity of this proposal in the courts, and shall seek enforcement of the provisions of this section in the courts.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0499-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 586 Page 5 of 5 April 4, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Social Services
State Auditor's Office
Office of State Courts Administrator
Attorney General Office
Office of Prosecution Services
Coordinating Board of Higher Education
University of Missouri
State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director April 4, 2005