COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0514-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 326

Subject: Administration, Office of; Charities; Contracts and Contractors

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 22, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0514-01 Bill No. HB 326 Page 2 of 5 February 22, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008			
go.	φn	\$0			
		FY 2006 FY 2007			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTIONS

Officials from the **Department of Social Services**, **Family Support Division**, and the **Department of Conservation**, assume there would be no fiscal impact to their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Division of Purchasing and Materials Management** (DPMM), assume this proposal would require DPMM to revised current bid language to inform bidders of the bonus preference for qualified nonprofit organizations for the blind. Also, DPMM would create bid language to require an affidavit by the director or manager and board president of a participating nonprofit organization for the purchasing agency.

The increase in bonus points could skew the evaluation based on the bonus points and not on the proposal's merits, and could cause the contract award to go to other than the lowest and best proposal. DPMM did not provide an estimate of the fiscal impact of the proposal.

L.R. No. 0514-01 Bill No. HB 326 Page 3 of 5 February 22, 2005

ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health** (DMH) assume this proposal would change the state bidding preference for qualified nonprofit organizations for the blind and sheltered workshops from 5 points to 25 points, provided the work would generate over \$5,000 in annual revenue for the participating nonprofit organization. The nonprofit organization would be designated a minority business.

DMH assumes there could be a fiscal impact but it is impossible to determine. The proposal would allow for 25% of the points to be given as a preference to those qualified nonprofit organizations. Under current statute in Chapter 34, awards are determined by the lowest and best. This proposed legislation could result in nonawards to the lowest and best, and therefore could potentially increase the fiscal cost to the state.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** (MODOT) assume this proposal would revise the preference to be given in the state bidding process. MODOT may experience higher prices for some products and services that are competitively bid by the Office of Administration, however these increases would be absorbed by the department.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services**, **Division of Budget and Finance**, assume this proposal would provide a 25 point bidding preference to qualified non-profit organizations for the blind when these organizations submit bids for state contracts. This advantage could possibly impact the Department by increased expenditures as a result of awarding a contract other than the lowest bid. The actual fiscal impact would be minimal and any additional costs could be absorbed through existing funds.

Oversight assumes this proposal could have an unknown negative impact on state funds and on local governments. Oversight is not able to estimate the number of organizations which might qualify for this bidding preference or the number and amount of contracts they might be awarded as a result of this bid preference. However, because this proposal would not require an increase in the budget or appropriations for any state fund, Oversight has not shown any fiscal impact to state funds.

L.R. No. 0514-01 Bill No. HB 326 Page 4 of 5 February 22, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
Increased costs - products and services	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal could impact small businesses by increasing the preference given to qualified nonprofit organizations in bidding on state purchases.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would revise the preferences to be given in the state bidding process. The bidding preference for products and services of qualified nonprofit organizations for the blind, or sheltered workshops holding a certificate of approval from the department of elementary and secondary education, would be increased to a twenty-five-point bonus on bids if the work generates in excess of five thousand dollars in annual revenue for the participating nonprofit organization.

The nonprofit organization would be designated as a minority business for purposes of state purchasing. An affidavit signed by the director or manager and the board president of a participating nonprofit organization shall be provided to the purchasing agency by the contractor, verifying compliance.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0514-01 Bill No. HB 326 Page 5 of 5 February 22, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration
Division of Purchasing and Materials Management
Department of Conservation
Department of Mental Health
Department of Social Services
Department of Transportation

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 22, 2005