# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0588-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 151

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Drugs and Controlled Substances; Elementary and

Secondary Education

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 1, 2005

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0588-01 Bill No. HB 151 Page 2 of 4 March 1, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
60	ga.	\$0		
•		FY 2006 FY 2007		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Public Safety – Director's Office, – Missouri State Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume existing staff could provide representation for those few cases arising where indigent persons were charged with distributing a controlled substance near schools to include long-term and child care facilities. Passage of more than one bill increasing penalties on existing crimes or creating new crimes would require the SPD to request increased appropriations to cover the cumulative cost of representing indigent persons accused in the now more serious cases or in the new additional cases.

L.R. No. 0588-01 Bill No. HB 151 Page 3 of 4 March 1, 2005

## <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this proposal revises the offense of distribution of a controlled substances near schools to include child care facilities, long-term care facilities, long-term care facilities and residences of in-home child care providers.

DOC states that currently, they cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the enhancement of the offenses(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY04 average of \$38.37 per inmate per day, or an annual cost of \$14,005 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Eight (8) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, it is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	, ,		
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 0588-01 Bill No. HB 151 Page 4 of 4 March 1, 2005

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation would expand the crime of distribution of a controlled substance within 2,000 feet of a school, a class A felony, to include child care facilities, long-term care facilities, and the residences of paid in-home child care providers.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Mental Health Department of Corrections Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Public Safety

- Director's Office
- Missouri State Highway Patrol

Office of Prosecution Services

Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 1, 2005