COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>LR No.</u>: 0611-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 214

Subject: Political Subdivisions: Elections

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 11, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

LR No. 0611-01 Bill No. HB 214 Page 2 of 4 April 11, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of Secretary of State** assume no fiscal impact to their office.

Officials of the Jasper County Clerk's office assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **City of Maryland Heights** stated that when Council seats are uncontested this proposal could save the city as much as \$4,000 annually in election costs,.

Officials of the **City of Independence** stated that their city is a Charter City and have election procedures that mostly follow the State laws. Officials assume they already have these provisions and would have no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **City of Fulton** stated this proposal would save their city approximately \$4,000 in election costs for City Council seats. Officials stated that in the years 2002, 2003, and 2004 combined, the city would have had a total of 12 positions open and of these, 8 were unopposed. Costs run about \$1,000 per ward annually, this proposal would have saved the city approximately \$8,000 during the past three years.

LR No. 0611-01 Bill No. HB 214 Page 3 of 4 April 11, 2005

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials of the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** stated this proposal would have no fiscal impact on the Commission.

Oversight for purposes of this fiscal note, assumes that governing bodies would have to adopt the provisions of state law, by ordinance or order before their political subdivision would realize any election cost savings. Oversight does not know how many local governments will adopt these provisions. Oversight will show savings as \$0 or unknown.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008

POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

Savings to Certain Political Subdivisions

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This bill allows cities, counties, towns, and villages to adopt ordinances that do not require elections in nonpartisan races when the number of candidates filing for positions equals the number of positions to be filled.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

LR No. 0611-01 Bill No. HB 214 Page 4 of 4 April 11, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Secretary of State
City of Fulton
City of Independence
City of Maryland Heights
Jasper County Clerk
Kansas City Board of Election Commission

NOT RESPONDING

Boone County Clerk
Callaway County Clerk
Andrew County Clerk
Marion County Clerk
Jefferson County Clerk
Franklin County Clerk
Johnson County Clerk
Greene County Clerk
St. Louis City Board of Election Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

April 11, 2005