COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>LR No.</u>: 1084-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 284

Subject: Counties: Collectors

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 2, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
Total Estimated					
Net Effect on General Revenue					
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

LR No. 1084-02 Bill No. HB 284 Page 2 of 4 February 2, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008			
go.	φn	\$0			
		FY 2006 FY 2007			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Missouri State Tax Commission** assume no fiscal impact to their office. Officials assume this proposal will affect some counties financially, however, officials could not estimate fiscal impact.

Officials of Jasper County, Jefferson County, and Boone County assume no fiscal impact.

Oversight assume this proposal will affect counties of the first classification with a charter form of government, and counties with a township form of government. Oversight called two Township County Collectors to get fiscal impact information.

Officials of **Texas County** stated that each township in their county has a collector of revenue. All billing of taxes is done by hand. Officials assume that there would be administrative impact and some additional printing cost due to the change in the tax bill to include delinquent taxes.

LR No. 1084-02 Bill No. HB 284 Page 3 of 4 February 2, 2005

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials of **Nodaway County** stated that their Collector in each township have computer generated billing. Officials assume there would be programming costs and printing costs. Officials assume there would be some administrative impact due to accounting and billing.

Oversight will show fiscal impact as Unknown to local governments for programming, printing and administrative impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008

CERTAIN COUNTIES

<u>Cost</u> to Certain Counties additional billing, programming, <u>(Unknown)</u> <u>(Unknown)</u> <u>printing*</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This bill requires all counties to mail property tax statements and receipts to taxpayers.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

^{*}Oversight assumes initial cost of programming would only in first year of change. Cost on a statewide basis is indeterminable.

LR No. 1084-02 Bill No. HB 284 Page 4 of 4 February 2, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue - State Tax Commission Jasper County Jefferson County Boone County Nodaway County Texas County

NOT RESPONDING

St. Louis County Jackson County St. Charles County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 2, 2005