## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1536-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 515

Subject: Cities, Towns and Villages; Entertainment, Sports and Amusements; Taxation

and Revenue.

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 4, 2005

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008		
Total Estimated Net Effect on					
General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1536-01 Bill No. HB 515 Page 2 of 4 March 4, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008			
60	ga.	\$0			
•		FY 2006 FY 2007			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** and the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **City of Kimberling City** assume a tax rate of 1 percent would generate revenues of \$207,000 in FY 2006 and \$280,000 in FY 2008.

Officials from the city of **Branson** as well as the counties of **Taney**, **Stone**, **Ozark** and **Barry** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

The statutes regarding the tourism community enhancement districts were adopted in the 2001 session, with SBs 232 and 230. **Oversight** assumes the changes made with this proposal still do not mandate the local governments to establish such a district and local voter approval is still required. Therefore, Oversight assumes the proposal will not have a fiscal impact to the local governments.

L.R. No. 1536-01 Bill No. HB 515 Page 3 of 4 March 4, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

Currently, each tourism community enhancement district has a board of directors with at least five members. This proposal increases the number to seven directors and changes the membership so that it is based on the amount of sales tax revenue collected by each district rather than population. A simple majority vote is required for the removal of a board member instead of the current two-thirds vote of the appointing governing body.

Currently, the board is allowed to levy, upon voter approval, a tourism tax of up to 1% on all sales within the district. The proposal prohibits the tourism tax from being assessed on the sale of food. The manner in which the revenue from the tax is distributed is changed so that 98% of the revenue is used for the marketing, advertising, and promotion of tourism; the administration of these activities; and a reasonable reserve. One percent of the revenue must be held in reserve, and another 1% can be retained by the Department of Revenue for the collection of the sales tax.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1536-01 Bill No. HB 515 Page 4 of 4 March 4, 2005

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Department of Revenue City of Kimberling City

NOT RESPONDING: City of Branson, counties of Taney, Stone, Ozark and Barry

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director March 4, 2005