COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u> :	1926-02
<u>Bill No.</u> :	HCS for HB 691
Subject:	Gambling.
<u>Type</u> :	Original
Date:	April 8, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue			
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1926-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 691 Page 2 of 4 April 8, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety**, **Missouri Gaming Commission**, **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Office of the State Public Defender** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal will not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Lottery Commission (LOT)** state that the amusement machines will compete with the Lottery pulltab product. LOT assumes that 50% of Lottery-licensed pull tab retailer locations would lose 50% of lottery sales annually (\$6,825,000), which at a 20% profit loss equals \$1,365,000 less for education funding.

Oversight assumes this proposal allows a player to win something of value that does not exceed \$5 per play, except for cash, liquor, beer or tobacco products. Therefore, **Oversight** also assumes this proposal deals with devices that will not be in direct competition with lottery sales, and assume that there will be minimal impact to the Missouri Lottery Commission.

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 1926-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 691 Page 3 of 4 April 8, 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal revises the definition of "gambling device" by excluding amusement devices that award only an immediate right of replay or a coupon credit that can be redeemed on the premises for something of value, not to exceed \$5 per play, except for cash, intoxicating beer or liquor, or tobacco products. The proposal specifies that gambling does include the playing of slot machines at truck stops.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Gaming Commission Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender Missouri Lottery Commission

Mickey Wilen

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 1926-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 691 Page 4 of 4 April 8, 2005

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director April 8, 2005

RS:LR:OD (12/02)