## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2098-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 809

Subject: Cities, Towns and Villages; Revenue Department; Taxation and Revenue;

Tourism.

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 25, 2005

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 2098-01 Bill No. HB 809 Page 2 of 4 March 25, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
<b>Local Government*</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

<sup>\*</sup>Oversight assumes annual cost would not exceed annual income resulting in either a \$0 or a positive annual fund balance. For purposes of this fiscal note, Oversight assumes zero fiscal impact.

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from **Pemiscot County** as well as the cities of **Hayti**, **Steele** and **Caruthersville** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes the guest tax is permissive and would require voter approval before the tax could be imposed. Oversight will range the fiscal impact to the local governments as \$0 to Unknown and assume that all of the revenue generated by the transient guest tax will be spent in the same year. Oversight also assumes the changes to the distribution requirements of the transient guest tax proceeds will be a wash fiscally to the local governments.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 (10 Mo.)

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2098-01 Bill No. HB 809 Page 3 of 4 March 25, 2005

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
MUNICIPALITIES WITHIN PEMISCOT COUNTY	(10 1110.)		
<u>Income</u> to Tourism Tax Trust Fund	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
<u>Cost</u> to cities for promotion of tourism and infrastructure improvements	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT*	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<sup>\*</sup>Requires voter approval. Oversight assumes annual cost would not exceed annual income resulting in either a \$0 or a positive annual fund balance. For purposes of this fiscal note, Oversight assumes zero fiscal impact.

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

If municipalities within Pemiscot County were to receive voter approval to impose a transient guest tax, small hotel/motel businesses located in the municipality would be expected to collect and administer the tax.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal authorizes, upon voter approval, a transient guest tax in any municipality in Pemiscot County and changes the distribution of the funds deposited into the tourism tax trust fund for cities with a population of less than 7,500 inhabitants.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 2098-01 Bill No. HB 809 Page 4 of 4 March 25, 2005

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Revenue

NOT RESPONDING: Pemiscot County, cities of Hayti, Steele and Caruthersville.

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

March 25, 2005