FIRST REGULAR SESSION HOUSE BILL NO. 800

93RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY REPRESENTATIVES LAMPE (Sponsor), FRASER, CHAPPELLE-NADAL, YAEGER, WRIGHT-JONES, HARRIS (110), CURLS, OXFORD, ROORDA, BAKER (25), AULL, HUGHES,
WILDBERGER, DARROUGH, BROWN (50), LOW (39), STORCH, ZWEIFEL, EL-AMIN, ROBINSON, DOUGHERTY, MEINERS, SWINGER, WITTE, JOLLY, SPRENG, RUCKER, SANDERS BROOKS, BOWMAN, VILLA, DAUS, SELBY, HOSKINS, BOYKINS, HUBBARD, WALSH, YOUNG, HENKE, MEADOWS, SKAGGS, SCHOEMEHL, BRINGER, PAGE, KUESSNER, CORCORAN, WALLACE, SATER, DONNELLY, LeVOTA AND HARRIS (23) (Co-sponsors).

Read 1st time March 15, 2005 and copies ordered printed.

STEPHEN S. DAVIS, Chief Clerk

1967L.01I

AN ACT

To repeal section 162.700, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to the placement of certain special education pupils.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the state of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Section 162.700, RSMo, is repealed and one new section enacted in lieu 2 thereof, to be known as section 162.700, to read as follows:

162.700. 1. The board of education of each school district in this state, except school districts which are part of a special school district, and the board of education of each special 2 3 school district shall provide special educational services for handicapped children three years of age or more residing in the district as required by P.L. 99-457, as codified and as may be 4 amended. Any child, determined to be handicapped, shall be eligible for such services upon 5 reaching his or her third birthday and state school funds shall be apportioned accordingly. This 6 7 subsection shall apply to each full school year beginning on or after July 1, 1991. In the event that federal funding fails to be appropriated at the authorized level as described in 20 U.S.C. 8 9 1419(b)(2), the implementation of this subsection relating to services for handicapped children three and four years of age may be delayed until such time as funds are appropriated to meet such 10 11 level. Each local school district and each special school district shall be responsible to engage

EXPLANATION — Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the law. Matter in **bold-face** type in the above bill is proposed language.

H. B. 800

in a planning process to design the service delivery system necessary to provide special education 12 and related services for children three and four years of age with handicaps. The planning 13 14 process [may] shall include public, private and private not-for-profit agencies which have provided such services for this population. The school district, or school districts, or special 15 school district, shall be responsible for designing an efficient service delivery system which uses 16 17 the present resources of the local community which may be funded by the department of 18 elementary and secondary education or the department of mental health. School districts may 19 coordinate with public, private and private not-for-profit agencies presently in existence. The 20 service delivery system shall be consistent with the requirements of the department of elementary 21 and secondary education to provide appropriate special education services in the least restrictive 22 environment.

2. Every local school district or, if a special district is in operation, every special school 2. district shall obtain current appropriate diagnostic reports for each handicapped child prior to 2. assignment in a special program. These records may be obtained with parental permission from 2. previous medical or psychological evaluation, may be provided by competent personnel of such 2. district or special district, or may be secured by such district from competent and qualified 2. medical, psychological or other professional personnel.

3. Where special districts have been formed to serve handicapped children under the provisions of sections 162.670 to 162.995, such children shall be educated in programs of the special district, except that component districts may provide education programs for handicapped children ages three and four inclusive in accordance with regulations and standards adopted by the state board of education.

4. For the purposes of this act, remedial reading programs are not a special education
service as defined by subdivision (4) of section 162.675 but shall be funded in accordance with
the provisions of section 162.975.

5. Any and all state costs required to fund special education services for three- and four-year-old children pursuant to this section shall be provided for by a specific, separate appropriation and shall not be funded by a reallocation of money appropriated for the public school foundation program.

6. School districts providing early childhood special education shall give [preference] consideration to the value of continuing services with Part C providers for the remainder of the school year when developing an individualized education program for a student who [had] has received services pursuant to Part C of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act[, to continue services with the student's Part C provider, unless this would result in a cost which exceeds the average cost per student in early childhood special education for the district responsible for educating the student] and reaches the age of three years during a regular H. B. 800

school year. Services provided shall be only those permissible according to Section 619 of the
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

50 7. Any rule or portion of a rule, as that term is defined in section 536.010, RSMo, that 51 is created under the authority delegated in this section shall become effective only if it complies 52 with and is subject to all of the provisions of chapter 536, RSMo, and, if applicable, section 536.028, RSMo. This section and chapter 536, RSMo, are nonseverable and if any of the powers 53 54 vested with the general assembly pursuant to chapter 536, RSMo, to review, to delay the 55 effective date or to disapprove and annul a rule are subsequently held unconstitutional, then the 56 grant of rulemaking authority and any rule proposed or adopted after August 28, 2002, shall be invalid and void. 57