COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3030-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 2071

Subject: Property, Real and Personal; Saint Louis County; Taxation and Revenue -

Property

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 28, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Blind Pension *	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds *	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

^{*} Expected to be less than \$100,000.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 3030-01 Bill No. HB 2071 Page 2 of 4 April 28, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government *	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

^{*} Expected to exceed \$100,000.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** and the **State Tax Commission** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from **St. Louis County** noted that new construction is not exempt from this cap, and a new residence that was a vacant lot last year; and has a new home occupied January 1st of this year and appeals would remain at the vacant lot value. All other new construction such as room additions, remodeling, etc would not get raised if they appealed. During a reassessment the value could be raised substantially but if they appeal the value would not change. These two situations could lead to millions of dollars in loses to the taxing districts.

Oversight assumes this proposal would lead to unknown losses, expected to exceed \$100,000 per year, to taxing authorities in St. Louis County. The proposal could result in local losses beginning in 2007 (FY2008). Oversight notes that losses to the state Blind Pension Fund would be approximately ½ of 1% of local tax losses, and has included an unknown cost expected to be less than \$100,000 in this fiscal note.

L.R. No. 3030-01 Bill No. HB 2071 Page 3 of 4 April 28, 2006

ASSUMPTION (continued)

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
BLIND PENSION FUND			
<u>Loss</u> - tax revenues *	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON BLIND PENSION FUND * * Expected to be less than \$100,000.	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	(Unknown)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN ST. LOUIS COUNTY	,		
<u>Loss</u> - tax revenues *	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN ST. LOUIS COUNTY *	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
* Expected to exceed \$100,000.	<u>\$0</u>	(CHRHOWH)	(CHKHOWH)

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would freeze property assessments while tax payments are being protested in St. Louis County.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3030-01 Bill No. HB 2071 Page 4 of 4 April 28, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue State Tax Commission St. Louis County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director April 28, 2006