COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3046-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1110

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Real and

Personal Property

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 24, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 3046-01 Bill No. HB 1110 Page 2 of 5 February 24, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol, – Division of Fire Safety, – Missouri State Water Patrol, – Capitol Police, Office of the State Public Defender, Boone County Sheriff's Department, and the Springfield Police Department assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal will not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors, although it may lead to an increase in prosecutions/caseloads.

Officials from the **St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (SLMPD)** assume the proposal could have a fiscal impact on their agency. Section 563.072.4 could create an expense for the SLMPD if it is sued for criminal prosecution.

L.R. No. 3046-01 Bill No. HB 1110 Page 3 of 5 February 24, 2006

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Oversight assumes, based on the responses received from other law enforcement agencies, that the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department could absorb any fiscal impact within existing resources.

Officials from the **Kansas City Police Department** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a similar proposal (HB 1103, LR # 3170-01), officials assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the Columbia Police Department, Greene County Sheriff's Department, Jackson County Sheriff's Department, and the St. Louis County Police Department did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 3046-01 Bill No. HB 1110 Page 4 of 5 February 24, 2006

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation specifies that a person with the lawful right to be in a dwelling, residence, or vehicle, who has a reasonable fear of imminent peril of death or physical injury to oneself or another, may use defensive force that is likely to cause death or physical injury to another when: (1) the person against whom the defensive force was used had unlawfully or forcefully entered or attempted to enter or remove another person from a dwelling, residence, or vehicle; or (2) the person using defensive force knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry or act had occurred.

Deadly force may also be used if a person reasonably believes that it is necessary to protect oneself or another against the imminent use of unlawful force or to prevent the imminent commission of a forcible felony. These uses are justified and provide the person using defensive force immunity from criminal prosecution and any civil actions.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Public Safety

- Missouri State Highway Patrol
- Division of Fire Safety
- Missouri State Water Patrol
- Capitol Police

Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Boone County Sheriff's Department
Kansas City Police Department
Springfield Police Department
St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department

L.R. No. 3046-01 Bill No. HB 1110 Page 5 of 5 February 24, 2006

NOT RESPONDING

Columbia Police Department Greene County Sheriff's Department Jackson County Sheriff's Department St. Louis County Police Department

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 24, 2006