# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3190-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1083

Subject: Children and Minors; Crimes and Punishment; Drugs and Controlled Substances

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 24, 2006

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 3190-01 Bill No. HB 1083 Page 2 of 4 February 24, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
60	go.	\$0		
•		FY 2007 FY 2008		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume existing staff could not provide competent, effective representation for any cases arising where indigent persons were charged with the revised crime of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree to include using methamphetamine in front of a child and taking methamphetamine knowing you are pregnant. SPD assumes the increased scope of this crime will require more SPD resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional appropriations for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all its cases.

L.R. No. 3190-01 Bill No. HB 1083 Page 3 of 4 February 24, 2006

#### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

**Oversight** assumes the Office of the State Public Defender (SPD) could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources. Oversight assumes any significant increase in the workload of the SPD would be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume they cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the enhancement of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY05 average of \$39.13 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$14,282 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Eight (8) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, it is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government  GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
Costs – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3190-01 Bill No. HB 1083 Page 4 of 4 February 24, 2006

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# **DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation expands the crime of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree to include when a person uses methamphetamine or amphetamine in the presence of a person younger than 17 years of age or in a place where a person younger than 17 resides or when a person takes, consumes, inhales, or otherwise uses methamphetamine while she is pregnant and has reason to believe or should have known that she was pregnant.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety
— Missouri State Highway Patrol
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 24, 2006