# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:3754-01Bill No.:HB 1066Subject:Bail Bonds; Criminal Procedure; Law Enforcement Officers and AgenciesType:OriginalDate:January 30, 2006

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 3754-01 Bill No. HB 1066 Page 2 of 5 January 30, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** stated the DOC is required to pay for the jail-stay period from arrest through delivery to DOC. This proposal would deny bail between adjudication and sentencing for certain sex or pornographic offenders (as defined) with child victims. The jail per diem is \$20. DOC is unsure of the exact number of offenders to whom this bill would apply, however it is assumed the cost would be less than \$100,000 per year.

L.R. No. 3754-01 Bill No. HB 1066 Page 3 of 5 January 30, 2006

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume existing staff could not provide competent, effective representation for any cases arising where indigent persons who are sex offenders cannot get an appeal bond. While most of the SPD's trial clients who get jail on such offenses are not in a position to make an appeal bond, the inability of others who had private counsel for trial may no longer have the means to retain private clients for appeal. These additional clients will require more SPD resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional appropriations for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all its cases.

**Oversight** assumes the Office of the State Public Defender (SPD) could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources. Oversight assumes any significant increase in the workload of the SPD would be reflected in future budget requests.

**Oversight** assumes local law enforcement agencies may experience an increase in jail populations as a result of the proposal. Oversight has shown the fiscal impact to local law enforcement agencies as unknown.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	<u>(Less than</u> \$100,000)	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than</u> \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>(Less than</u> <u>\$100,000)</u>

L.R. No. 3754-01 Bill No. HB 1066 Page 4 of 5 January 30, 2006

<u>ıknown)</u>
FY 2009

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### DESCRIPTION

Currently, bail is not available to defendants under a sentence of death or imprisonment for life. The proposed legislation expands the defendants to whom bail is unavailable to include defendants who have pled guilty to or been found guilty of any sexual offense under Chapters 566, 568, or 573, RSMo, where the victim was younger than 17 years of age when the crime was committed.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilen

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3754-01 Bill No. HB 1066 Page 5 of 5 January 30, 2006

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director January 30, 2006

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)