COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:4003-01Bill No.:HB 1311Subject:Counties; County Officials; Law Enforcement Officers and AgenciesType:OriginalDate:February 10, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	£0.	£0.	¢0.	
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4003-01 Bill No. HB 1311 Page 2 of 4 February 10, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume the proposed legislation would allow the court to order a person to pay into the county law enforcement restitution fund for a moving violation.

Traffic cases are technically misdemeanors, and if as an alternative to a traffic conviction, a defendant can get a suspended sentence for payment into the crime reduction fund, the potential volume could be in the thousands of cases.

If cases that would otherwise have resulted in a conviction are shifted to a suspended imposition or execution of sentence, it is likely to result in the loss of revenue from fines to the schools, crime victims' compensation, law enforcement training, and other earmarked funds.

Currently, the total cost for a traffic ticket stands at \$54.50. This proposal would increase significantly the cost of those tickets where the fee was assessed.

L.R. No. 4003-01 Bill No. HB 1311 Page 3 of 4 February 10, 2006

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes the legislation is permissive, and would allow the courts in counties that have established a county law enforcement restitution fund to assess a payment for moving violations. Oversight assumes no fiscal impact because the language is permissive.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation adds any moving violation, as defined by Section 302.010, RSMo, to the list of infractions for which a court may order payment to the county law enforcement restitution fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 4003-01 Bill No. HB 1311 Page 4 of 4 February 10, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director February 10, 2006

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)