COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4079-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1489

Subject: Elections: Electronics

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 3, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4079-01 Bill No. HB 1489 Page 2 of 4 March 3, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** stated they cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials of the **Office of State Court Administrator** assume no fiscal impact on the Courts.

L.R. No. 4079-01 Bill No. HB 1489 Page 3 of 4 March 3, 2006

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials of the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume no fiscal impact to County Prosecutors.

Officials of the Kansas City Board of Election Commission assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Platte County Board of Election Commission** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the Cass County Clerk's Office assume no fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This bill makes it a class three election offense to use a wireless electronic recording device to transmit ballot contents to unauthorized persons. A voter's use of a wireless electronic recording device in a polling place to give or receive voting information will be a class four election offense.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4079-01 Bill No. HB 1489 Page 4 of 4 March 3, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Prosecution Services
Department of Corrections
Office of State Court Administrator
Kansas City Board of Election Commission
Platte County Board of Election Commission
Cass County Clerk

NOT RESPONDING

Office of the Attorney General

Oversight sent response request to the St. Louis City and County Board of Election Commissions, Platte County Election Commission, and the County Clerks of Greene, Clay, and Cass Counties with no response.

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 3, 2006