# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4486-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1771

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Education, Elementary and Secondary; Elementary and

Secondary Education Dept

Type: Original Date: May 8, 2006

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
General Revenue	\$0	Unknown	Unknown	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
State School Moneys Fund*	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds*	\$0	\$0	\$0	

<sup>\*</sup> Offsetting savings and losses to State School Moneys Fund in FY 2009 and FY 2009

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 4486-01 Bill No. HB 1771 Page 2 of 6 May 8, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
<b>Local Government*</b>	Unknown	\$0	\$0

<sup>\*</sup>Local School Districts would have offsetting income from increased fines and losses from reduced distribution from State School Moneys Fund in FY 2008 and FY 2009.

## **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume there will be no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Office of the Director** and **Missouri State Highway Patrol** state this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

In response to identical legislation (SB 753), officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** state this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their agency.

In response to identical legislation (SB 753), officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** state this proposal will not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors, although it may lead to an increase in prosecutions/caseloads.

According to officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)**, in response to indentical legislation (SB 753), the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result

LD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 4486-01 Bill No. HB 1771 Page 3 of 6 May 8, 2006

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY03 average of \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

According to officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** (**DESE**), there is no state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. Should the new crimes and amendments to current law result in additional fines or penalties, DESE cannot know how much additional money might be collected by local governments to distribute to schools. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to schools increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year; unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

Officials from the following local law enforcement agencies indicated there would be no fiscal impact to their local agencies: Springfield Police Department, St Louis Metropolitan Police Department, Boone County Sheriff's Department.

Officials from the following school districts indicated there is no apparent direct fiscal impact from this proposed legislation: **Columbia, Kansas City, Poplar Bluff.** 

L.R. No. 4486-01 Bill No. HB 1771 Page 4 of 6 May 8, 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Savings – Reduced appropriations to State School Moneys Fund	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND			
<u>Savings</u> – Reduced distributions to local school districts	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Losses</u> – Reduced appropriations from General Revenue Fund	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
SCHOOL DISTRICTS		FY 2008	FY 2009
		FY 2008 Unknown	FY 2009 Unknown
SCHOOL DISTRICTS  Revenues – School Districts	(10 Mo.)		

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

LD:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 4486-01 Bill No. HB 1771 Page 5 of 6 May 8, 2006

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal prohibits sexual offenders from entering elementary or secondary school property without the consent of the school's principal or head administrator if the victim of the crime for which the person must register was less than 17 years of age at the time of the offense. These requirements apply at both public and private schools. Such offenders are also prohibited from attending officially sanctioned school activities or events without consent of the school principal or head administrator.

Any sexual offender who violates these provisions shall be guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator

Department of Corrections

Department of Public Safety

Office of Director

Missouri State Highway Patrol

Office of the State Public Defender

Office of Prosecution Services

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Local Law Enforcement

Springfield Police Department

Saint Louis Metro Police Department

Boone County Sheriff's Department

**School Districts** 

Columbia

Kansas City

Poplar Bluff

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

L.R. No. 4486-01 Bill No. HB 1771 Page 6 of 6 May 8, 2006

May 8, 2006