COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4692-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1603

Subject: State Attorney General; Business and Commerce; Telecommunications

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 28, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4692-01 Bill No. HB 1603 Page 2 of 4 February 28, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this proposal for Administrative Rules is less than \$1,500. The SOS recognizes this is a small amount and does not expect additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the SOS can sustain with their core budget. Any additional required funding would be handled through the budget process.

L.R. No. 4692-01 Bill No. HB 1603 Page 3 of 4 February 28, 2006

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a similar proposal from the current session (SB 675, LR # 3079-01), officials assumed any potential costs arising from this proposed legislation could be absorbed within existing resources. The AGO may receive a substantial number of new complaints regarding unsolicited text messages and faxes in the future and the AGO may seek additional appropriations from the Merchandising Practices Revolving Fund to investigate and prosecute these complaints in future fiscal years.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation allows residential and business cellular phone numbers to be added to the telemarketing No-Call List and changes the definition of "telephone solicitation." Currently, the definition is any voice communication over a telephone line from a live operator for the purpose of encouraging the purchase or rental of goods and services. The proposal changes it to any voice, facsimile, graphic image, or data communication, including text messaging communications, for the purpose of encouraging the purchase or rental of goods and services.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4692-01 Bill No. HB 1603 Page 4 of 4 February 28, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General Office of State Courts Administrator Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 28, 2006