# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

# **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4765-02

Bill No.: HCS for HB 1539

Subject: Health Care; Health Care Professionals; Employees - Employers

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 8, 2006

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Unemployment Compensation Trust	(Unknown less than \$100,000)	(Unknown less than \$100,000)	(Unknown less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	(Unknown less than \$100,000)	(Unknown less than \$100,000)	(Unknown less than \$100,000)	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

L.R. No. 4765-02

Bill No. HCS for HB 1539

Page 2 of 8 March 8, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
<b>Local Government</b>	(Unknown exceeding \$100,000)	(Unknown exceeding \$100,000)	(Unknown exceeding \$100,000)

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator, Missouri Department of Transportation, Department of Public Safety (DPS) - Director's Office, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, Missouri Department of Conservation, and Office of Prosecution Services assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **DPS - Missouri State Highway Patrol** defer to the Missouri Department of Transportation for response regarding the potential fiscal impact of this proposal on their organization.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development - Division of Professional Registration** state having reviewed the proposed legislation and having sought the conclusion of the appropriate board(s), they are of the opinion the proposal, in its present form, has no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DOS) - Division of Medical Services (DMS)** state in the provider participation section of the fee-for-service provider manuals, a Medicaid provider must comply with all laws, policies, and regulations of Missouri and the federal government. It further states that a provider must also comply with the standards and ethics of his or her business or profession to qualify as a participant in the program. ASSUMPTION (continued)

L.R. No. 4765-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 1539 Page 3 of 8 March 8, 2006

Officials from the **Department of Insurance** state additional staff and expenses are not being requested with this single proposal, but it multiple proposals pass during the legislative session that require policy form reviews, the INS will need to request additional staff to handle the increase in workload.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** state that the provisions of this proposal do not directly affect the AGO. However, the AGO assumes that because this proposal has the potential to be the subject of litigation, costs are unknown, but likely to be less than \$100,000 annually.

**Oversight** assumes, because the potential for litigation is speculative, that the AGO will not incur significant costs related to this proposal. If a fiscal impact were to result, the AGO may request additional funding through the appropriations process.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** state the issues or services which arise regularly in facilities that might likely be target as services for which some employee might take religious, moral, ethical exception include: Prescription, dispensing, and/or administration of birth control medications; prescription, dispensing, and/or administration of "mind altering" medications; teaching, counseling, referring for information regarding birth control; use and/or participation in the used of physical or chemical restraints; support or participation in certain behavior modification programs which require the awarding or withholding of certain rewards or privileges; preparing and/or serving particular foods; and, limitations on a variety of personal freedoms to include such things as smoking, conjugal visitation, or use of dietary supplements.

In the event any one of the above services was required and the assigned staff person declined on the basis of personal religious, moral, or ethical grounds, the DMH would be required to employ another employee to perform those services. Depending on the number/degree of refusals, such accommodations could cost the DMH nothing (if another willing employee is available at that time to perform the service) to unknown costs if the DMH is required to hire additional personnel or work other employees overtime to cover the services.

The DMH anticipates that the fiscal impact of this proposal is unknown, but less than \$100,000 annually.

**Oversight** assumes that some health care professionals will exercise their conscious rights and decline to participate in or provide certain services. How many might decline to provide a service is speculative and the DMH cannot know whether it will incur additional costs. Therefore, **Oversight** assumes the DMH will not incur significant costs related to this proposal. If a fiscal impact were to result, additional funds could be sought through the appropriations process.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

L.R. No. 4765-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 1539 Page 4 of 8

March 8, 2006

Officials from the Department of Health and Senior Services (DOH) state the proposal could potentially increase complaints from consumers, resulting in a need for additional staff to investigate such complaints. However, due to the uncertainties, the DOH assumes this proposal would not be expected to fiscally impact the operations of the department. If a fiscal impact were to result, funds to support the provisions of this proposal would be sought through the appropriations process.

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOL)** assume that the number of individuals that would be affected by this provision would be small. However, the DOL has no way of determining the actual number of individuals who would be discharged under these circumstances and who would subsequently apply for unemployment compensation. Based on an average weekly benefit amount (\$205.57) and the average claim duration (15.5 weeks), each claim would potentially result in an additional \$3,186.34 in unemployment benefits being paid from the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund.

Based on DOL's assumptions that the number of individuals separated from their employer due to the provisions of this proposal would be small, Oversight assumes the impact would be unknown, but less than \$100,000 annually.

Officials from Cedar County Memorial Hospital state that their hospital is small with one pharmacy and five rotating emergency room physicians. As they do not know what would happen when an employee would claim the provision of a service would violate his/her conscious rights, officials for the hospital believe they might no longer be able to provide the expected standard of care and the result would have an unknown cost impact on their facility.

Oversight assumes since Cedar County Memorial Hospital can not know that an employee (s) would exercise their conscious rights that the fiscal impact is speculative and the hospital can not know if there would be a significant fiscal impact. Oversight assumes there would be no significant fiscal impact.

Officials from the University of Missouri Health Care System state they have reviewed the proposal and the potential financial impact is unable to be determined at the present time. However, Section 191.1059 which allows for a health care payer to exclude certain services from payment may cause potential financial losses over \$100,000 annually if a payer or their employee determines that a previously approved service or covered benefit violated the payer's policy or policies.

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the Office of Attorney General (AGO) did not respond to our request for a

L.R. No. 4765-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 1539 Page 5 of 8 March 8, 2006

statement of fiscal impact. However, in response to a previous version of this proposal, the AGO assumed the provisions of this proposal do not directly affect the AGO. However, the AGO assumed that because this proposal has the potential to be the subject of litigation, costs are unknown, but are likely to be less than \$100,000.

**Oversight** assumes, because the potential for litigation is speculative, that the AGO will not incur significant costs related to this proposal. If a fiscal impact were to result, the AGO may request additional funding through the appropriations process

Officials from the Office of State Public Defender, Barton County Memorial Hospital, Bates County Memorial Hospital, Cooper County Memorial Hospital, Excelsior Springs Medical Center, Putnam County Memorial Hospital, and Washington County Memorial Hospital did not respond to our request for a statement of fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
	(10 Mo.)		

# UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TRUST FUND

Costs - Department of Labor and

**Industrial Relations** 

Increase in unemployment claims (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON UNEMPLOYMENT

COMPENSATION TRUST FUND (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown)

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2009 (10 Mo.)

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS - HOSPITALS

L.R. No. 4765-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 1539

Page 6 of 8 March 8, 2006

# Costs - Hospitals

Loss in reimbursement for services			
provided	<u>(Unknown</u>	<u>(Unknown</u>	(Unknown
	exceeding	exceeding	exceeding
	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	<u>\$100,000)</u>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS -	(Unknown	(Unknown	(Unknown
HOSPITALS	exceeding	exceeding	exceeding
	<b>\$100,000</b> )	\$100,000)	\$100,000)

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The proposal may impact small business health care providers by requiring them to hire additional personnel to perform health care services that other employees refuse to perform because it violates their conscience.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This substitute establishes the Health Care Rights of Conscience Act to protect the religious, moral, or ethical principles held by a health care provider, health care institution, or health care payer. The substitute: (1) Specifies a health care provider is not required to participate in a health care service that violates his or her conscience. Any individual declining to participate in a service will not be civilly, criminally, or administratively liable and will not be discriminated against in any manner for refusing to participate; (2) Specifies that a health care institution is not required to participate in a health care service that violates its conscience. Any health care institution that declines to provide or participate in a service will not be held civilly, criminally, or administratively liable if the patient signs a consent form before admission that he or she has been notified of the institution's right; (3) Specifies that any person, employer, health care institution, association, corporation, or other entity attempting to establish a new health care institution or operating an existing institution will not be discriminated against for declining to participate in a service which violates the institution's policy or policies; (4) Specifies that no public official, agency, institution, or entity will deny aid or assistance because the institution has

declined to participate in a health care service contrary to its policy or policies; (5) Specifies that a health care payer will not be required to pay for or arrange for the payment of any health care DESCRIPTION (continued)

service or product that violates the payer's policy or policies; (6) Specifies that no person, association, corporation, health care payer, or other entity that owns, operates, supervises, or

L.R. No. 4765-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 1539 Page 7 of 8 March 8, 2006

manages a health care payer will be held civilly, criminally, or administratively liable for declining to pay for or arrange for the payment of any health care service that violates the payer's policy or policies; (7) Specifies that no person, public or private institution, or public official will discriminate against any health care payer or any person, association, corporation, or other entity attempting to establish a new health care payer or operating an existing health care payer in any manner for declining to pay for or arrange for the payment of any health care service that violates the payer's policy or policies; (8) Specifies that no public official, agency, institution, or entity will deny aid or assistance because the health care payer declines to pay for or arrange for the payment of any service that violates the payer's policy or policies; and (9) Allows any individual, association, corporation, entity, or health care institution to sue for damages and injunctive relief.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Economic Development -Division of Professional Registration Department of Mental Health Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Labor and Industrial Relations Department of Social Services Missouri Department of Transportation Department of Public Safety -Missouri State Highway Patrol Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan Department of Insurance Missouri Department of Conservation Office of Prosecution Services University of Missouri Cedar County Memorial Hospital

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

NOT RESPONDING: Office of Attorney General, Office of State Public Defender, Barton

L.R. No. 4765-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 1539 Page 8 of 8 March 8, 2006

County Memorial Hospital, Bates County Memorial Hospital, Cooper County Memorial Hospital, Excelsior Springs Medical Center, Putnam County Memorial Hospital, and Washington County Memorial Hospital

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 8, 2006