COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:5133-01Bill No.:HB 1807Subject:Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Public Records, Public Meetings;
Sunshine Law, Meetings and RecordsType:OriginalDate:May 1, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 4 pages. L.R. No. 5133-01 Bill No. HB 1807 Page 2 of 4 May 1, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources**, **Department of Public Safety** – **Missouri State Highway Patrol**, – **Director's Office**, **Department of Conservation**, **Springfield Police Department**, and the **St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume any costs associated with this measure can be absorbed within existing resources. AGO assumes there will be questions posed to the ABO about who this new provision will work in particular cases.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** assume the proposal could result in potentially substantial savings as it relates to the cost of litigating requests for such records. Enacting this legislation should prevent an agency from incurring substantial financial costs resulting from hiring attorneys to fight such requests for those records.

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 5133-01 Bill No. HB 1807 Page 3 of 4 May 1, 2006

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
<u>Savings</u> – Law Enforcement Agencies Decreased record requests	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation makes records and documents in connection with internal law enforcement investigations relating to an officer's employment status, fitness, or conduct closed records. Records and documents involving allegations of criminal conduct by an officer are not subject to the closed records requirement.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 5133-01 Bill No. HB 1807 Page 4 of 4 May 1, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Natural Resources Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol – Director's Office Department of Conservation Boone County Sheriff's Department Springfield Police Department St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director May 1, 2006

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)