

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0183-01
Bill No.: HB 57
Subject: Villages: Elections
Type: Original
Date: February 16, 2007

Bill Summary: Authorizes unopposed candidates in elections in Villages to take office without an election.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Local Government	\$0 or Minimal	\$0 or Minimal	\$0 or Minimal

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of Secretary of State- Elections Division** assume no fiscal impact.

Oversight sent response request to numerous cities of the third and fourth class and villages, and have received no responses.

Oversight assumes there would be election cost savings to those cities that would not have to hold an election due to the number of positions to be filled equaling the number of candidates that have filed for the position. **Oversight** would expect any savings that might be realized to be minimal and not all elections would realize savings.

Oversight assumes no state fiscal impact. Local fiscal impact will be shown as \$0 or minimal.

Oversight assumes minimal savings would be less than \$1,000 per election, per city.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010

CITIES OF THE THIRD, FOURTH, CLASSES AND VILLAGES

<u>Savings</u> - To certain cities and villages From not holding an election	<u>\$0 or minimal</u>	<u>\$0 or minimal</u>	<u>\$0 or minimal</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT	<u>\$0 or Minimal</u>	<u>\$0 or Minimal</u>	<u>\$0 or Minimal</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.


SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State - Elections

NOT RESPONDING

City Clerks of the Cities of :

Calverton Park
Dardenne Prairie
Freeburg
Hillsdale
Innsbrook
Oakview
Pasadena Park
Velda Village Hills
Wardsville



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 16, 2007