# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0720-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 266

Subject: Cemeteries; Revenue Dept.; Taxation and Revenue - Income

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 7, 2007

Bill Summary: Would provide an income tax credit for cash donations made to certain

cemeteries.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
General Revenue	(More than \$131,188)	(More than \$132,248)	(More than \$133,216)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(More than \$131,188)	(More than \$132,248)	(More than \$133,216)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0720-01 Bill No. HB 266 Page 2 of 5 March 7, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
General Revenue	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	1.0	1.0	1.0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) provided the following response:

Many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume this proposal would create a tax credit equal to 50% of any cash donation made to a qualifying family cemetery which was conveyed to the county under state law. The tax credit could not exceed \$2,500 and would not be refundable, but could be carried forward for 4 years. The credit could not be transferred, sold, or assigned.

DOR assumes Personal Tax would require 1 Tax Processing Technician I for every 4,000 credits claimed.

Information Technology ITSD/DOR estimates the IT portion of this request could be accomplished within existing resources, however; if priorities shift, additional FTE/overtime would be needed to implement. ITSD/DOR estimates that this legislation could be implemented utilizing 4 existing CIT III for 2 months at a cost of \$33,488.

DOR submitted a cost estimate including the additional 1.0 FTE and related benefits, equipment, and expenditures totaling \$37,123 for FY 2008, \$39,085 for FY 2009, and \$40,076 for FY 2010.

L.R. No. 0720-01 Bill No. HB 266 Page 4 of 5 March 7, 2007

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight has, for fiscal note purposes only, changed the starting salary for the additional position to correspond to the second step above minimum for comparable positions in the state's merit system pay grid. This decision reflects a study of actual starting salaries for new state employees for a six month period and the policy of the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research. Oversight has also adjusted equipment and expense amounts in accordance with OA budget guidelines. Oversight assumes that one additional employee could be accommodated in existing office space. If unanticipated additional costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are enacted which increase the DOR workload, resources could be requested through the budget ptocess.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** did not respond to our request for information.

#### This proposal could reduce Total State Revenue.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	,		
<u>Cost</u> - DOR			
Personal Service (1.0 FTE)	(\$17,820)	(\$22,026)	(\$22,686)
Fringe Benefits	(\$7,851)	(\$9,704)	(\$9,996)
Expense and Equipment	<u>(\$5,517)</u>	<u>(\$518)</u>	<u>(\$534)</u>
Total	<u>(\$31,188)</u>	<u>(\$32,248)</u>	(\$33,216)
Revenue reduction			
Tax credits	(More than	(More than	(More than
	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	\$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	(More than	(More than	(More than
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$131,188)</u>	<u>\$132,248)</u>	<u>\$133,216)</u>
Estimated Net FTE Change for General			
Revenue Fund	1.0	1.0	1.0

L.R. No. 0720-01 Bill No. HB 266 Page 5 of 5 March 7, 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

This proposal would provide an income tax credit for cash donations made to certain cemeteries.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the Secretary of State Department of Revenue

## NOT RESPONDING

Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 7, 2007