## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0766-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 347

Subject: Energy; Revenue Dept.; Taxation and Revenue - Income

Type: Original

Date: February 19, 2007

Bill Summary: Would provide an income tax credit for alternative fuel facilities.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
General Revenue	\$0	(\$2,490,000)	(\$1,660,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	(\$2,490,000)	(\$1,660,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0766-01 Bill No. HB 347 Page 2 of 5 February 19, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State**, the **Department of Agriculture**, the **Department of Economic Development**, and the **Department of Revenue** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) provided the following information.

Many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** (DNR) assume the proposal would establish a tax credit for any eligible applicant who installs and operates a qualified alternative fuel vehicle refueling property between January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2011. The allowed credit could not exceed the lesser of \$20,000 or 20% of the total costs directly associated with the purchase and installation of any alternative fuel storage and dispensing equipment on any qualified alternative fuel vehicle refueling property.

The cumulative amount of tax credits which could be claimed by eligible applicants are:

\$3,000,000 in 2008 (FY 2009); \$2,000,000 in 2009 (FY 2010); and \$1,000,000 in 2010 (FY 2011).

DNR and the Department of Revenue (DOR) may promulgate rules to implement the provisions of this tax credit. DNR would review and certify tax credit applications to DOR for each eligible applicant that qualifies for the tax credit. It is unclear if the department would be required to establish an appeals process for any application not certified for the tax credit.

L.R. No. 0766-01 Bill No. HB 347 Page 4 of 5 February 19, 2007

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** compared the total tax credit issuances relative to the total tax credit redemptions for the previous three years in order to determine a relationship between the two. Oversight discovered that the annual redemptions ranged from 79 percent to 86 percent of the annual issuances. Depending on the program, the redeemed credits may have been issued several years prior and carried forward to the years studied; however, Oversight will utilize an estimated redemption total of 83 percent of tax credits issued.

### This proposal would reduce Total State Revenue.

	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$2,490,000)</u>	<u>(\$1,660,000)</u>
Revenue reduction - Department of Revenue	<u>\$0</u>	(\$2,490,000)	(\$1,660,000)
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 Mo.)	1 1 2009	11 2010
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal could have a fiscal impact to small businesses which sell motor fuel.

### **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposal would provide an income tax credit for alternative fuel facilities

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0766-01 Bill No. HB 347 Page 5 of 5 February 19, 2007

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Department of Agriculture
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Revenue
Department of Economic Development

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 19, 2007