COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0774-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 171

Subject: Banks and Financial Institutions; Consumer Protection; Crimes and Punishment

Type: Original

Date: January 11, 2007

Bill Summary: The proposal creates new civil actions for victims of identity theft.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0774-01 Bill No. HB 171 Page 2 of 4 January 11, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume, because this proposal provides for a new private civil cause of action in certain instances for victims of identity theft, the proposal would have no fiscal impact on the AGO.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume there may be an increase in the number of civil actions filed, but CTS has no way of predicting that increase. Any significant workload impact will be reflected in future budget requests.

Oversight assumes the Office of State Courts Administrator could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources. Oversight assumes any significant increase in the workload of the courts would be reflected in future budget requests.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

BLG:LR:OD (12/06)

L.R. No. 0774-01 Bill No. HB 171 Page 4 of 4 January 11, 2007

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General Office of State Courts Administrator

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 11, 2007