## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1097-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 370

Subject: Agriculture and Animals; Agriculture Dept.; Property, Real and Personal

Type: Original

Date: February 7, 2007

Bill Summary: Prohibits the state from banning, prohibiting, or otherwise restricting a

farmer's or landowner's right to have certain captive-reared game birds and

waterfowl on their property.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Missouri Department of Conservation Funds	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)

L.R. No. 1097-01 Bill No. HB 370 Page 2 of 4 February 7, 2007

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 1097-01 Bill No. HB 370 Page 3 of 4 February 7, 2007

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture** assume no fiscal impact to their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume this proposal would have a fiscal impact on MDC funds, since it would invalidate MDC's ability to regulate captive-reared game bird wildlife. The loss of revenue could result if lack of any standards or oversight leads to introduction of diseases or other wildlife management challenges. The proposal impinges on the authority of the Conservation Commission to manage the bird, fish, forest, and wildlife resources of Missouri.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government  MISSOURI CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT FUNDS	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
Loss Loss of Povenue from permits	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)
Loss - Loss of Revenue from permits  ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)
MISSOURI CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT FUNDS	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	(\$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

VL:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 1097-01 Bill No. HB 370 Page 4 of 4 February 7, 2007

#### **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation prohibits the state from banning prohibiting, or otherwise restricting a farmer's or landowner's right to have certain captive-reared game birds and waterfowl on their property and may have a fiscal impact on Department of Conservation Funds.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Agriculture Department of Conservation

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 7, 2007