COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1265-01 Bill No.: HB 430

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Firearms and Fireworks; Law Enforcement Officers and

Agencies

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 5, 2007

Bill Summary: The proposal expands the crime of unlawful use of weapons to include

knowingly possessing or discharging a firearm or projectile weapon if the

individual has previously been convicted in any court of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term greater than one year.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
General Revenue	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 1265-01 Bill No. HB 430 Page 2 of 6 March 5, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 1265-01 Bill No. HB 430 Page 3 of 6 March 5, 2007

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety– Director's Office** and the **– Missouri State Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume they cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY06 average of \$39.43 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$14,394 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY06 average of \$2.52 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$920 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through incarceration or probation would result in additional costs and the exact fiscal impact is unknown per year.

Oversight assumes seven (7) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year for the cost to Department of Corrections to exceed \$100,000 annually. Therefore, Oversight assumes the incarceration and/or probation cost could exceed \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

L.R. No. 1265-01 Bill No. HB 430 Page 4 of 6 March 5, 2007

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assume this proposed legislation includes provisions for new criminal acts which in turn would create new and addition obligations for prosecuting attorneys. Any increase in the number of cases referred for criminal prosecution and any new statutory obligations for prosecutors will have an additional fiscal impact on county prosecutors. However, officials from the OPS are not aware of any estimates of the number of additional criminal cases that would be referred to county prosecutors for charges because of this proposed legislation. Additionally, the OPS is not otherwise able to establish a workable estimate of the number of additional criminal cases that would be referred to county prosecutors for charges or how many additional hours the proposed statutory obligations would require of prosecutors. Therefore, it is not possible to determine the extent to which this proposal would have a direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors or the OPS.

Oversight assumes the Office of Prosecution Services (OPS) and county prosecutors could absorb any costs associated with the proposal within existing resources. If the OPS and county prosecutors experience an increase that would require additional funding, they could request the funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume this new crime will require more SPD resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional appropriations for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all its cases.

Oversight assumes the Office of the State Public Defender (SPD) could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources. Oversight assumes any significant increase in the workload of the SPD would be reflected in future budget requests.

L.R. No. 1265-01 Bill No. HB 430 Page 5 of 6 March 5, 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposal expands the crime of unlawful use of weapons to include knowingly possessing or discharging a firearm or projectile weapon if the individual has previously been convicted in any court of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term greater than one year.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1265-01 Bill No. HB 430 Page 6 of 6 March 5, 2007

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety

- Missouri State Highway Patrol
- Director's Office

Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 5, 2007