COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1466-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 598

Subject: Business and Commerce; Employment Security; Labor and Industrial Relations

Dept.

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 6, 2007

Bill Summary: Would exempt employers from unemployment compensation coverage

where employees who are owners are ineligible for unemployment

benefits.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 1466-01 Bill No. HB 598 Page 2 of 6 March 6, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Unemployment Compensation Administration Fund *	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund *	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds *	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)	

^{*} Net of offsetting savings and costs.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1466-01 Bill No. HB 598 Page 3 of 6 March 6, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development**, **Division of Workforce Development** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their organization.

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations** (DOLIR) assume this proposal would exempt sole proprietors, partnerships, and limited liability companies currently electing to be treated for tax purposes as a sole proprietor or partnership, from being classified as an "employer". The affected employers in the State would experience adverse tax consequences and the Division of Employment Security would experience a negative fiscal impact in both the Unemployment Compensation (UC)Trust Fund and the UC Administration Fund.

L.R. No. 1466-01 Bill No. HB 598 Page 4 of 6 March 6, 2007

ASSUMPTION (continued)

DOLIR assumes the UC Trust Fund would experience a negative fiscal impact which would be greater than \$100,000. The negative impact would be the result of the difference between the net reduction in contributions and the net reductions in the benefits paid. The net contributions reduction calculation would be based on the 409,714 employees affected, assuming all reached the \$12,000 state taxable wage base with all employers having the 2007 average tax rate of 2.196%. The result of this calculation is a contributions reduction of \$107,967,833. The net reductions in benefits paid is based on the number of employees that could receive benefits. It is not possible for the Division of Employment Security (DES) to estimate how many employees could be receiving benefits, for how long, or the benefit amount.

The UC Administration Fund would experience a negative fiscal impact since it is allocated money based on several factors; one factor is the workload component. The DES workload is then compared to the other states and money is allocated based on this analysis. The change in Missouri's workload as compared to workload levels in the other states would determine the amount of money allocated to fund the UC Administration Fund, which supports Missouri's unemployment compensation program. This negative fiscal impact is also an unknown. The impact would be greater than \$100,000.

Oversight assumes that there would be an unknown net impact to the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund due to partially offsetting reductions in contributions from those employers who would be exempted from coverage by this proposal, and reductions in benefits to former employees of those employers. Oversight assumes the net impact to the Unemployment Compensation Administration Fund would be minimal since expenditure reductions would have to be made for any reductions in federal allocations.

L.R. No. 1466-01 Bill No. HB 598 Page 5 of 6 March 6, 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATION FUND	(10 Mo.)		
Cost reduction - DOLIR Claims from exempted employers employees	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000
Revenue reduction - DOLIR Contributions from exempted employers	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATION FUND *	<u>Unknown to</u> (<u>Unknown)</u>	<u>Unknown to</u> (<u>Unknown)</u>	Unknown to (Unknown)
UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TRUST FUND			
Cost reduction - DOLIR	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000
Revenue reduction - DOLIR Federal allocations	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TRUST FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 1466-01 Bill No. HB 598 Page 6 of 6 March 6, 2007

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal would exempt employers from unemployment compensation coverage where employees who are owners are ineligible for unemployment benefits.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development
Division of Workforce Development
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

March 6, 2007