# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1988-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 801

<u>Subject</u>: Public Service Commission: Telecommunications

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 6, 2007

Bill Summary: Removes the expiration date to continue to restrict political subdivisions

form providing certain telecommunications services and facilities.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1988-01 Bill No. HB 801 Page 2 of 4 March 6, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	TED FY 2008	FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 20			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

<sup>□</sup> Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

<sup>□</sup> Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development - Public Service Commission** and **Office of Public Counsel** state this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

Officials from **Nodaway County** stated there is no fiscal impact to their county since their county does not deal directly with telecommunications companies.

Officials from **Saint Louis County** indicated no fiscal impact to their county.

Officials from the **City of Centralia** state there is no fiscal impact to their city as a result of this proposal; no such service has been planned or discussed.

Officials from **Kansas City** state there would be no fiscal impact as a result of this proposal; however, the city would lose the opportunity in the future to provide telephone services to certain business tenants in city-owned facilities.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 1988-01 Bill No. HB 801 Page 4 of 4 March 6, 2007

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development Public Service Commission

Office of Public Counsel

Cities

Kansas City Centralia

Counties

St Louis Nodaway

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 6, 2007