# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2166-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 885

Subject: Courts; Crimes and Punishment; Criminal Procedure

Type: Original Date: April 6, 2007

Bill Summary: The proposal allows a court to order a person's vehicle impounded for up

to one year as part of a penalty for driving while intoxicated.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	60	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 2166-01 Bill No. HB 885 Page 2 of 5 April 6, 2007

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

<sup>☐</sup> Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

<sup>□</sup> Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Department of Public Safety** – **Missouri State Highway Patrol**, and the – **Director's Office** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume, because of omissions in the proposal, CTS is unable to provide a fiscal analysis at this time.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume the proposal would have minimal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** assume this new crime will require more SPD resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional appropriations for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all its cases.

**Oversight** assumes the Office of the State Public Defender (SPD) could absorb the costs of the proposed legislation within existing resources. Oversight assumes any significant increase in the workload of the SPD would be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a similar proposal from the 2006 session (HB 1160, LR # 3818-01), officials assumed the proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010

L.R. No. 2166-01 Bill No. HB 885 Page 4 of 5 April 6, 2007

	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2008 (10 Mo.)	FY 2009	FY 2010

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Transportation Department of Revenue Department of Public Safety - Missouri State Highway Patrol

- Director's Office

Office of the State Public Defender

### **NOT RESPONDING**

**Office of Prosecution Services** 

Mickey Wilen

L.R. No. 2166-01 Bill No. HB 885 Page 5 of 5 April 6, 2007

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director April 6, 2007