COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3160-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1512

Subject: Business and Commerce; Merchandising Practices

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 5, 2008

Bill Summary: Provides for scrap metal purchases in this state.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 3160-01 Bill No. HB 1512 Page 2 of 5 February 5, 2008

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 3160-01 Bill No. HB 1512 Page 3 of 5 February 5, 2008

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator, Department of Economic Development - Public Service Commission, Department of Revenue, Department of Public Safety (DPS) - Director's Office, and DPS - Missouri State Highway Patrol assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offenses(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitment depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through either incarceration (FY 06 average of \$39.43 per inmate per day or an annual cost of \$14,394 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY 06 average of \$2.52 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$920 per offender per year).

DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders. The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or the imposition of a probation sentence. The probability also exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offence of that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

Supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** state because the provisions of this proposal creates new criminal laws, the OPS assumes it would have a direct fiscal impact on county prosecutors from an increase in the number of cases referred for prosecution. However, the OPS is not able to establish an estimate of the additional criminal cases that would be referred to the County Prosecutors for charges. It is not believed that a significant number of additional case referrals would result from this proposal. It is also assumed this proposal would not have a significant direct fiscal impact on the OPS.

HWC:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3160-01 Bill No. HB 1512 Page 4 of 5 February 5, 2008

ASSUMPTION (continued)

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide competent, effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with new crimes relating to the purchase of scrap yards. It will be a new misdemeanor for scrap yards to purchase beer kegs or manhole covers. It will also be a new misdemeanor for failure to maintain records.

Passage of bills increasing penalties on existing crimes, or creating new crimes, requires the SPD system to further extend resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation is all its cases.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The proposal will administratively impact small business scrap metal businesses because of more stringent record-keeping requirements.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

HWC:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3160-01 Bill No. HB 1512 Page 5 of 5 February 5, 2008

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Economic Development Public Service Commission
Department of Corrections
Department of Revenue
Department of Public Safety Director's Office
Missouri State Highway Patrol
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 5, 2008