COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u> :	3299-02	
<u>Bill No.:</u>	HCS for HJR 43	
Subject:	Constitutional Amendments; Property, Real and Personal;	State Tax
	Commission; Taxation and Revenue - Property	
Type:	Original	
Date:	February 29, 2008	

Bill Summary: Would submit to the voters a constitutional amendment altering the rollback requirement for the Hancock amendment.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue			
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 3299-02 Bill No. HCS for HJR 43 Page 2 of 6 February 29, 2008

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u>				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

□ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of the Secretary of State (SOS) provided the following response.

Many joint resolutions are considered by the General Assembly that would require the SOS to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.6 million historically appropriated in even numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2007, at the August and November elections, there were 6 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.2 million to publish (an average of \$193,000 per issue). Therefore, the Secretary of State's office assumes, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. However, because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or eliminate the estimated nature of our appropriation.

The SOS stated that this proposal could reduce tax revenues to local libraries but could not estimate an amount.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue**, the **Office of Administration**, **Administrative Hearing Commission**, **Linn State Technical College**, the **Metropolitan Community Colleges**, the **City of Centralia**, and the **City of Columbia** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development**, the **City of Kansas City**, and the **City of St. Louis** assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their organizations.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization ut could have a negative impact on local governments.

Officials from the **City of Gladstone** assume this proposal would require the City to expend approximately \$14,000 for each election required to obtain voter approval of each tax levy.

SS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3299-02 Bill No. HCS for HJR 43 Page 4 of 6 February 29, 2008

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assumed this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their organization. BAP officials noted that the proposal would, if approved by the voters and implemented, remove the maximum authorized levy and the adjustment for changes in the general price level for local government tax rates. Growth in property tax receipts would be curtailed for counties and other political subdivisions, thereby decreasing the amount of local effort used in funding schools and increasing the amount of state funding needed for the state's foundation formula. BAP officials deferred to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for an estimate of the fiscal impact to the state foundation formula.

Officials from the **City of West Plains** assumed a previous version of the proposal, if passed by the voters and implemented, would further restrict city tax levy rates, reducing city revenues.

Officials from **St. Louis County** assumed a previous version of this proposal would, if passed by the voters and implemented, reduce property tax collections by approximately \$1 million for every 1% of assessed valuation growth based on the change in the Consumer Price Index.

Officials from the **City of Lake Ozark** provided a response to a previous version of the proposal but did not estimate a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** (DESE) assumed a previous version of the proposal, if approved by the voters and implemented, would remove the ability of political subdivisions to have an increase in revenue equal to the general price level (CPI) change.

Eliminating the ability of school districts to increase property tax revenues by utilizing the CPI on either the maximum authorized levy or the current levy would have a big negative impact on school districts. The loss statewide to school districts is estimated to be in the millions of dollars and would happen yearly. The HJR does not appear to increase the cost of the public school foundation funding formula as there is no provision to increase state money to offset a decrease in local revenue.

Officials from the **Parkway School District** assume this proposal, if approved by the voters and implemented, would result in revenue reductions of \$18.5 million.

Officials from **Clinton County** assume this proposal could result in unknown losses to local governments.

SS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3299-02 Bill No. HCS for HJR 43 Page 5 of 6 February 29, 2008

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that this proposal would submit a constitutional amendment to the voters. If approved by the voters and implemented it could have a negative impact on property tax revenues of political subdivisions and the Blind Pension Fund. Oversight assumes that any fiscal impact resulting from this proposal would be based on the voter approval and will show no cost or revenue in this fiscal note.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3299-02 Bill No. HCS for HJR 43 Page 6 of 6 February 29, 2008

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State Office of Administration Administrative Hearing Commission Division of Budget and Planning Department of Economic Development Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Department of Revenue State Tax Commission **Clinton County** St. Louis County City of Columbia City of Centralia City of Gladstone City of Kansas City City of Lake Ozark City of St. Louis City of West Plains Linn State Technical College Metropolitan Community Colleges Parkway School District

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director February 29, 2008