COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 3315-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1423

Subject: Alcohol; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Motor Vehicles

Type: Original

Date: January 18, 2008

Bill Summary: This proposal enacts provisions relating to ignition interlock devices.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 3315-01 Bill No. HB 1423 Page 2 of 6 January 18, 2008

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** state the bill changes the requirement so that the court <u>may</u> require the installation of the interlock system on any vehicle the offender operates regardless of whether the offender is a first, second or subsequent offender. The interlock must be installed for a period of not less than six months.

MoDOT states 23 U.S.C. Section 164 requires States to enact "repeat intoxicated driver laws." These laws provide minimum penalties for individuals convicted of a second or subsequent offense for driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence. These state laws must require repeat offenders to:

- (1) receive a driver's license suspension for at least one year;
- (2) be subject to the impoundment or immobilization of each of the individual's motor vehicles or the installation of an ignition interlock system on each of the motor vehicles; and
- (3) receive an assessment of the individual's degree of abuse of alcohol and treatment as appropriate.

Because the draft language in section 577.600 no longer requires an ignition interlock system for a repeat offender and instead leaves this sanction to the court's discretion, the language takes Missouri out of compliance with Section 164. Noncompliant states are subject to a transfer of 3% of the state's apportionment for the Federal-aid highway programs under paragraphs (1), (3) and (4) of Section 104(b). This transfer amounts to approximately \$12 million annually. These funds are transferred from the infrastructure activities to behavioral safety or hazard elimination projects.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** anticipate all Ignition Interlock vendors to transmit offender compliance and noncompliance notices electronically to the department; therefore the impact would be minimal. However; if all Ignition Interlock vendors do not comply electronically, DOR will request FTE and associated cost for processing manual compliance and noncompliance notices including sorting, batching, editing and keying the documents. This cost will then be requested through the appropriations process.

DOR states that Information Technology will need to create an Ignition Interlock subsystem in order to automate the process to evaluate the status of the driver, to update the receipt of an Ignition Interlock maintenance report and to generate appropriate notices to issue to the citizen.

L.R. No. 3315-01 Bill No. HB 1423 Page 4 of 6 January 18, 2008

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Due to the Statewide Information Technology Consolidation, DOR's response to a proposal will now also reflect the cost estimates prepared by OA-IT for impact to the various systems. As a result, the impact shown may not be the same as previous fiscal notes submitted. In addition, if the legislation is Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed, the OA-IT costs shown will be requested through appropriations by OA-IT.

Office of Administration Information Technology (ITSD DOR) estimates that this legislation could be implemented utilizing 4 existing CIT III's for 4 months at a rate of \$54,418. The Office of Administration Information Technology (ITSD DOR) estimates the IT portion of this request can be accomplished within existing resources; however, if priorities shift, additional FTE/overtime would be needed to implement.

DOR assumes this legislation will allow the state to qualify for federal 410 grant funding utilized by Highway Safety, Department of Public Safety, Highway Patrol, Department of Revenue, Office of State Court Administration etc.

Oversight assumes the loss of federal funding from non-compliance, as stated in MoDOT's response as well as the state qualifying for federal 410 grant funding, as stated in DOR's response are both speculative and are not considered direct fiscal impacts of this proposal, and therefore, Oversight has not reflected the potential loss or potential gain on the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, **Office of the State Public Defender**, **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, and the **Department of Public Safety** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** stated that they could not predict the number of new commitments which could result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in the proposal. An increase in commitments would depend on the utilization of prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the courts. If additional persons were sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC would incur a corresponding increase in operational costs either through incarceration (FY 2004 average \$38.37 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$14,005) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY 2003 average \$3.15 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,150). Supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but DOC officials assume that the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

L.R. No. 3315-01 Bill No. HB 1423 Page 5 of 6 January 18, 2008

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders.
- The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence.
- The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3315-01 Bill No. HB 1423 Page 6 of 6 January 18, 2008

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Transportation
Department of Corrections
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Revenue
Department of Public Safety
Office of the State Public Defender

NOT RESPONDING: Office of Prosecution Services Office of the Attorney General

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 18, 2008