COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 3653-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1458

Subject: Metropolitan Areas: Law Enforcement Grants

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 6, 2008

Bill Summary: Establishes the Reverend Nathaniel Cole Pursuit Reduction Grant to

provide grants to urban police departments for the purchase of real-time

pursuit management systems.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
General Revenue	(\$40,425 to	(\$49,965 to	\$51,463 to	
	Unknown)	Unknown)	Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(\$40,425 to	(\$49,965 to	(\$51,463 to	
	Unknown)	Unknown)	Unknown)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Reverend Nathaniel Cole Pursuit Reduction Grant	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 3653-01 Bill No. HB 1458 Page 2 of 7 March 6, 2008

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 3653-01 Bill No. HB 1458 Page 3 of 7 March 6, 2008

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of the State Treasurer** assume that if wording of this proposal is not changed they would require 1 FTE, Accounting Specialist I - at an annual salary of \$39,126 plus \$16,914 in fringe benefits, to monitor all disbursements of this fund. If a wording change is made there would be no request for new FTE.

Oversight has, for fiscal note purposes only, changed the starting salary for an Account Specialist I to correspond to the second step above minimum for comparable positions in the state's merit system pay grid. This decision reflects a study of actual starting salaries for new state employees and policy of the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research. Oversight assumes the starting salary would be \$33,636, and for 10 months of FY 2009 the salary adjusted would be \$28,030 and fringe benefits would equal \$12,395. The salary in 2010 would be \$34,645; and in 2011 the salary would be \$35,684 both years plus fringe benefit costs. No request was made for equipment or expense.

Officials of the **Office of the Secretary of State - Rulemaking** stated that many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The Secretary of State's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The Secretary of State's office recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials of the **Department of Public Safety** assume no fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 3653-01 Bill No. HB 1458 Page 4 of 7 March 6, 2008

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight for purposes of this fiscal note assumes there would be an annual appropriation from the General Assembly; however, the amount is unknown. **Oversight** will show a transfer of funds from the General Revenue Fund to the Reverend Nathaniel Cole Pursuit Reduction Grant Fund as Unknown.

Oversight assumes that the annual fund balance to the Reverend Nathaniel Cole Pursuit Reduction Grant Fund would be either zero, if all monies were spent, or a positive unknown. Monies remaining in the fund at the end of the biennium would not revert to the credit of the General Revenue Fund.

Officials of St. Louis County assume no fiscal impact.

* Oversight assumes that local governments would be required to put up a match of 50% to receive the state grant monies. The decision by qualifying local governments to enter into the state grant program would be discretionary.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Cost</u> - Office of State Treasurer for custodian costs of fund management			
Personnel (1 FTE)	(\$28,030)	(\$34,645)	(\$35,684)
Fringe Benefits	(\$12,395)	(\$15,320)	(\$15,779)
Equipment and Expense	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Total Costs</u> to State Treasurer *	$(\$40,42\overline{5})$	(\$49,965)	(\$51,463)
FTE Change - STO	1 FTE	1 FTE	1FTE
<u>Transfer of Funds</u> - Appropriation to Reverend Nathaniel Cole Memorial			
Pursuit Reduction Grant Fund	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(\$40,425 to <u>Unknown)</u>	(\$49,965 to <u>Unknown)</u>	(\$51,463 to Unknown)

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3653-01 Bill No. HB 1458 Page 5 of 7 March 6, 2008

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
(continued)	(10 Mo.)		

REVEREND NATHANIEL COLE MEMORIAL PURSUIT REDUCTION GRANT FUND

Transfer In - From General Revenue

From annual appropriation Unknown Unknown Unknown

<u>Income</u> - From Federal Funds, Gifts, Unknown Unknown Unknown Bequests, Donations, or other

Costs - To Fund

From providing matching grants to local (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown) law enforcement agencies.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO
REVEREND NATHANIEL COLE
MEMORIAL PURSUIT REDUCTION \$0 or Unknown \$0 or Unknown \$0 or Unknown
GRANT FUND

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2011 (10 Mo.)

CERTAIN METROPOLITAN AREAS LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES

Income - To Certain City and County

Law Enforcement Agencies

From 50% matching grant from Rev.

Nathaniel Cole Pursuit Grant Fund Unknown Unknown Unknown

Costs To Certain City and County Law

Enforcement Agencies

For Purchasing Tagging and Tracking

pursuit management systems. (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown)

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3653-01 Bill No. HB 1458 Page 6 of 7 March 6, 2008

(continued)	(10 Mo.)		
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT *	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

^{*} Oversight assumes that local governments would be required to put up a match of 50% to receive the state grant monies. The decision to enter into the state grant program would be discretionary.

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill creates the Reverend Nathaniel Cole Memorial Pursuit Reduction Grant in the state treasury to be administered by the Director of the Department of Public Safety. Any money appropriated or donated to the fund will be used to provide grants, in the amount of a 50% match, to urban law enforcement agency which purchase real-time tagging and tracking pursuit management systems. The law enforcement agency must be in an area with a population of at least four hundred thousand inhabitants.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Treasurer
Office of the Secretary of State - Rules
Department of Public Safety
St. Louis County Executive

L.R. No. 3653-01 Bill No. HB 1458 Page 7 of 7 March 6, 2008

NOT RESPONDING

City of Kansas City Jackson County Executive St. Louis City

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 6, 2008