# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4778-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1941

Subject: Agriculture and Animals; Crimes and Punishment; Law Enforcement Officers and

Agencies

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 5, 2008

Bill Summary: It defines livestock for the crime of stealing, makes stealing certain quail

or pheasants a crime, and modifies the penalties for such offenders.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000) (Less than \$10		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 4778-01 Bill No. HB 1941 Page 2 of 5 March 5, 2008

		ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011			
		\$0			
	FY 2009				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture**, **Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Department of Public Safety** – **Missouri State Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume this proposal expands the crime of stealing animals by modifying the specifically-named animals to those defined as livestock.

Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the enhancement of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY05 average of \$39.43 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$14,394 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY06 average of \$2.52 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$920 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Eight (7) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, it is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2009 (10 Mo.)	FY 2010	FY 2011
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections  Incarceration/probation costs	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation modifies the definition of livestock for which it is a crime to steal and modifies the penalties of such offenders.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4778-01 Bill No. HB 1941 Page 5 of 5 March 5, 2008

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Agriculture Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Missouri Highway Patrol

## **NOT RESPONDING**

Office of Prosecution Services

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

March 5, 2008