

SECOND REGULAR SESSION

# HOUSE BILL NO. 2418

## 94TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

---

INTRODUCED BY REPRESENTATIVE ONDER.

Read 1st time March 12, 2008 and copies ordered printed.

D. ADAM CRUMBLISS, Chief Clerk

5313L.01I

---

### AN ACT

To amend chapter 376, RSMo, by adding thereto one new section relating to standardization of quality of care data for health insurance, with a penalty provision.

---

*Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the state of Missouri, as follows:*

Section A. Chapter 376, RSMo, is amended by adding thereto one new section, to be  
2 known as section 376.412, to read as follows:

**376.412. 1. As used in this section, the following terms shall mean:**

2 **(1) "Health carrier", the same meaning as such term is defined in section 376.1350;**

3 **(2) "Health care provider", the same meaning as such term is defined in section**  
4 **376.1350;**

5 **(3) "Quality of care data", data intended to measure the quality of health care**  
6 **services delivered by a specific health care provider.**

7 **2. A contract between a health carrier and a health care provider shall not require**  
8 **the provider to submit quality of care data to the health carrier as a condition of payment**  
9 **for medical services rendered, unless such data is included in the set of quality of care**  
10 **indicators selected by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for**  
11 **disclosure in comparative format to the public. The provisions of this section shall not be**  
12 **construed to limit the health carrier's ability to:**

13 **(1) Abstract quality of care data from billing data submitted by the provider;**

14 **(2) Collect data necessary to comply with federal or state law or regulation or**  
15 **accreditation standards; or**

EXPLANATION — Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the law. Matter in **bold-face** type in the above bill is proposed language.

16           (3) Collect data from health care providers for whom the federal Centers for  
17 Medicare and Medicaid Services has not implemented quality of care indicators for  
18 disclosure in comparative format.

19           3. Any person who sells or otherwise distributes to the public quality of care data  
20 shall, if the product includes data that is not included in the set of quality of care indicators  
21 selected by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for disclosure in  
22 comparative format to the public:

23           (1) Include the following disclaimer on the information distributed: "This data  
24 includes quality of care indicators other than those used by the federal Centers for  
25 Medicare and Medicaid Services and as such may be based on research methodologies that  
26 deviate from those used by such agency.";

27           (2) Identify what peer review process, if any, was used to confirm the validity of the  
28 data and its analysis as an objective indicator of health care quality;

29           (3) Indicate whether health care providers identified in the information were  
30 consulted regarding its development and data analysis standards;

31           (4) Provide such health care providers with the opportunity to comment on data  
32 made available to the public;

33           (5) At the option of the provider, include such provider comments with the publicly  
34 disclosed information if the seller or distributor of the information declines to make  
35 changes based on such comments; and

36           (6) Post on their web site the methodology, including all formulas sufficient to  
37 replicate data produced by quality of care indicators not used by the federal Centers for  
38 Medicare and Medicaid Services.

39           4. Articles or research studies on the topic of quality of care assessment that are  
40 published in peer-reviewed academic journals shall be exempt from the requirements of  
41 subsection 3 of this section.

42           5. Programs of health carriers to assess and compare the performance and  
43 efficiency of health care providers shall conform to the following requirements:

44           (1) If a consolidated provider performance indicator includes measures of both  
45 quality of performance and cost-efficiency, the weight given to each type of measure shall  
46 be disclosed;

47           (2) The relative weight of each quality of performance indicator to the overall  
48 rating shall be disclosed;

49           (3) Providers shall be notified at least forty-five days prior to the implementation  
50 of a quality of performance or cost-efficiency measure. The notification shall include a

51 description of the process for using the quality of performance or cost-efficiency measure  
52 or measures;

53 (4) Quality of performance or cost-efficiency data shall reflect appropriate risk  
54 adjustment to account for the characteristics of the patients treated by the health care  
55 provider. Such risk adjustment shall include, but not be limited to, case mix, severity of  
56 the medical condition, co-morbidities, and outlier episodes;

57 (5) When multiple providers are involved in a patient's treatment, quality of  
58 performance indicators shall disclose the methodology for determining which health care  
59 provider will be held accountable for a patient's care;

60 (6) In disclosing comparative data, health carriers shall prominently state that  
61 performance rankings are only a guide in choosing a health care provider and that such  
62 rankings are based on statistical analysis and as such have a risk of error;

63 (7) Health care providers shall have the right to review quality of performance and  
64 cost-efficiency data prior to its disclosure. If a health care provider files a timely appeal  
65 following such review, the health carrier shall not post the quality of performance or cost-  
66 efficiency data until the appeal is completed; and

67 (8) Quality of performance and cost-efficiency data shall be designed to compare  
68 like types of health care providers within the appropriate geographic market.

69 6. All alleged violations of subsections 1 to 5 of this section by a health carrier shall  
70 be investigated and enforced by the department of insurance, financial institutions and  
71 professional registration under the department's powers and responsibilities to enforce the  
72 insurance laws of this state in accordance with chapter 374, RSMo.

73 7. (1) Upon receipt of a complaint of an alleged violation of subsection 3 of this  
74 section by a person or entity other than a health carrier, the department of health and  
75 senior services shall investigate the complaint and, upon finding that a violation has  
76 occurred, shall be authorized to impose a penalty in an amount not to exceed one thousand  
77 dollars. The department shall promulgate rules governing its processes for conducting  
78 such investigations and levying fines authorized by law.

79 (2) Any rule or portion of a rule, as that term is defined in section 536.010, RSMo,  
80 that is created under the authority delegated in this section shall become effective only if  
81 it complies with and is subject to all of the provisions of chapter 536, RSMo, and, if  
82 applicable, section 536.028, RSMo. This section and chapter 536, RSMo, are nonseverable  
83 and if any of the powers vested with the general assembly pursuant to chapter 536, RSMo,  
84 to review, to delay the effective date, or to disapprove and annul a rule are subsequently  
85 held unconstitutional, then the grant of rulemaking authority and any rule proposed or  
86 adopted after August 28, 2008, shall be invalid and void.