# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0688-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 153

Subject: Economic Development; Science and Technology; Political Subdivisions

Type: Original

Date: March 3, 2009

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes Community Improvement Districts that are

political subdivisions to sponsor and operate a Polytechnic Institute for

Science and Technology within the authorizing city or county.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0688-02 Bill No. HB 153 Page 2 of 4 March 3, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
			_	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 0688-02 Bill No. HB 153 Page 3 of 4 March 3, 2009

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** state the bill allows for the creation of polytechnic institutes for science and technology and other related educational specialties by community improvement districts. Since these districts have the statutory authority to levy taxes and fees and borrow money to support this creation there would appear to be no fiscal impact to the state.

Officials from **St. Louis County** assume no fiscal impact from the proposal.

In response to a similar proposal from 2008 (HB 1320), officials of the **Kansas City Manager's Office** assumed no fiscal impact.

Officials from Jackson County, the Kansas City School District, the City of St. Louis, and the St. Louis School District did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal is permissive in nature and would not have a direct fiscal impact on community improvement districts.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0688-02 Bill No. HB 153 Page 4 of 4 March 3, 2009

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development Department of Elementary and Secondary Education City of Kansas City St. Louis County

NOT RESPONDING: Jackson County

Kansas City School District
City of St. Louis
St. Louis School District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 3, 2009