

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3050-01  
Bill No.: HB 1229  
Subject: Cities, Towns and Villages; Education, Elementary and Secondary; Law  
Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Roads and Highways  
Type: Original  
Date: April 9, 2010

---

Bill Summary: This proposal specifies that any fine collected for a red light violation by an entity utilizing an automated photo red light enforcement system must be given to the local school district where the infraction occurred.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
General Revenue	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Unknown</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
State School Moneys	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** assume this proposal requires that fines collected from red light cameras be given to the school district where the violation occurred. It also states that the money will not be distributed as state aid as specified in 163.031. It would appear that this would not have a negative fiscal impact on the state. If anything it could cause a reduction due to increased fine money in a school district which would be a larger deduction from the foundation formula. Since the proposal says the money will not be distributed as state aid as specified in 163.031, this may mean that the fines deduction does not increase as a result of fines from red light cameras. School districts could see an indeterminate increase in fine revenue as the formula is phased in.

This fine money is also distributed differently from other fine revenue in that it is given to the district where the fine occurs rather than being distributed based on September membership to all districts within the county. This will mean that not all districts will share in the red light camera fine proceeds as they do with other fines.

Officials at the **Department of Public Safety, Missouri Department of Transportation, Missouri Highway Patrol** and the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **City of Columbia** assume each violation is \$97.50 plus court costs of \$22.50 for a total of \$120. Currently the city has 2 such cameras in operation with a goal of having 16 functional by the end of 2010. Using a conservative estimate of 3 caught violations per day at each such intersection, this would equate to 17,520 violations per year ( $3 \times 16 \times 365$ ) for a total of \$1,708,200 ( $17,520 \times \$97.50$ ) in lost revenue to the City.

Officials at the **City of Kansas City** assume a loss to the City of approximately \$2,500,000 in fiscal year 2011 as the city has red light cameras at its most dangerous intersections.

**Oversight** assumes that the Cities that currently have red light cameras will lose an unknown amount of fine revenue currently collected and the school district within that city will gain the fine revenue. This gain in fine revenue to the school districts will result in a deduction in the state's distribution to school districts the following year.

No other City responded to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>GENERAL REVENUE</b>			
<u>Savings</u> - increase in fine revenue to local school districts from red light cameras results in a deduction in the calculation for school funding the following year	<u>\$0</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>UNKNOWN</b></u>	<u><b>UNKNOWN</b></u>
<b>STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND</b>			
<u>Savings</u> - Less money distributed to local school districts as a result of an increased deduction from fine revenue	\$0	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Loss</u> - less money transferred in from General Revenue	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND</b>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>			
<u>Income - to Local School Districts</u> - fine revenue from automated photo red light enforcement systems now dedicated to local schools	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Loss - to Local School Districts</u> - increased fine revenue results in decreased funding distribution from the state the following year.	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Loss - to Cities</u> - fine revenue from automated photo red light enforcement systems now dedicated to local schools	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill specifies that any fine collected for a red light violation by an entity utilizing an automated photo red light enforcement system must be given to the local school district where the infraction occurred and not distributed through the School Foundation Formula.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of Columbia  
City of Kansas City  
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education  
Department of Public Safety  
Missouri Department of Transportation  
Missouri Highway Patrol  
Office of the State Courts Administrator

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mickey Wilson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
April 9, 2010