# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3991-01 Bill No.: HB 1486

Subject: State Tax Commission; Taxation and Revenue

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 9, 2010

Bill Summary: Would allow each party to an appeal before the State Tax Commission one

change of hearing officer.

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 3991-01 Bill No. HB 1486 Page 2 of 4 February 9, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 20121	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	D FY 2011 FY 2012				
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

<sup>☐</sup> Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

<sup>□</sup> Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assume this proposal would not result in additional costs or savings to their organization.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** (TAX) assume this proposal would require TAX to assign a new hearing officer to an appeal if the party files a timely application to disqualify the hearing officer originally assigned to the appeal. Currently TAX has only three hearing officers to handle the thousands of appeals filed each year. The TAX response included one FTE Hearing Officer; and estimated total costs for salary, benefits, expense, and equipment were \$70,412 for FY 2011, \$85,233 for FY 2012, and \$87,789 for FY 2013.

**Oversight** assumes there would be a limited number of hearings where one of the parties would request the disqualification of a hearing officer and that TAX could implement this provision with existing resources. If unanticipated costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are incurred which increase then TAX could request resources through the budget process.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 3991-01 Bill No. HB 1486 Page 4 of 4 February 9, 2010

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Revenue
State Tax Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 9, 2010