COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4309-01 Bill No.: HB 1664

Subject: Roads and Highways; County Government; Boards, Commissions, Committees,

Councils

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 19, 2010

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies how members of county highway commissions are

compensated.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4309-01 Bill No. HB 1664 Page 2 of 4 February 19, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** assume there will be no fiscal impact to their agency.

Oversight assumes no state fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes this proposal changes the compensation for members of the county highway commission who are not also members of the county's governing body to an amount per meeting as established by the county's governing body and mileage allowance for those members will be the same amount per mile received by the members of the county's governing body. Oversight assumes this proposal will also eliminate any compensation to members of the commission who are also members of the county's governing body. Therefore, Oversight assumes this proposal is discretionary, adds no new expenditure of funds and would have no local fiscal impact.

The following counties did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a fiscal impact: **DeKalb**, **Texas**, **Nodaway**, **Butler**, **Pulaski**, **Lincoln**, **Lawrence**, **Laclede**, **Miller**, **Marion**, **Pemiscot**, and **Ozark**.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 4309-01 Bill No. HB 1664 Page 4 of 4 February 19, 2010

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Transportation

NOT RESPONDING

Counties of: DeKalb, Texas, Nodaway, Butler, Pulaski, Lincoln, Lawrence, Laclede, Miller, Marion, Pemiscot, Ozark

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 19, 2010